TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAYS EMERGENCIES AND IONTEREY COUNTY REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FR

MONTEREY COUNTY REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE JOINT POWERS AGENCY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Members are: Alejandro Chavez (Chair), John Phillips (1st Vice Chair), Robert Huitt (2nd Vice Chair), Kimbley Craig (Past Chair), Luis Alejo (County Representative), Ed Smith (City Representative)

> Wednesday, June 7, 2017 TAMC Conference Room 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas **9:00 AM**

1. ROLL CALL

Call to order and self-introductions. If you are unable to attend, please contact Elouise Rodriguez, Senior Administrative Assistant. Your courtesy to the other members to assure a quorum is appreciated.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any member of the public may address the Committee on any item not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of Transportation Agency and Executive Committee. Comments on items on today's agenda may be given when that agenda item is discussed.

3. BEGINNING OF CONSENT AGENDA

Approve the staff recommendations for items listed below by majority vote with one motion. Any member may pull an item off the Consent Agenda to be moved to the end of the **CONSENT AGENDA** for discussion and action.

3.1 APPROVE Executive Committee Minutes of May 3, 2017.

-Rodriguez

3.2 RECOMMEND that Board of Directors **APPROVE** evaluation form, procedure, and timeline for completing annual evaluation for Executive Director and Counsel.

-Goel

The Agency Bylaws require an annual evaluation of the Executive Director and Counsel.

3.3 RECEIVE a report regarding the ballot Measure X election costs charged by the

Monterey County Elections Department.

- Delfino

The Transportation Agency for Monterey's Measure X costs charged by the Monterey County Elections Department were \$715,272.35. TAMC staff met with the Monterey County Registrar of Voters and members of his staff to review the election costs allocated to Measure X. All costs were valid elections costs that were allocated following the appropriate allocation methodology followed by the Election Department.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

4. **RECOMMEND** the Board:

- 1. Authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract with Khouri Consulting, in an amount not to exceed \$30,000 per year, to provide state legislative analyst/advocate services, for two years, with the option to extend another year at the same cost, subject to Agency Counsel approval;
- 2. Approve the use of local funds budgeted to this purpose; and
- 3. Authorize the Executive Director to make administrative changes to the contract if such changes do not increase the Agency's net cost, subject to approval by Agency counsel.

- Watson

TAMC released a Request for Proposals for state legislative analyst/advocate services in March 2017. Three proposals were submitted. Staff recommends selection of Khouri Consulting due to their impressive legislative expertise in transportation issues.

5. **RECEIVE** update on state legislative activities and **RECOMMEND** Board adopt positions on bills.

- Watson

Staff will present a state legislative update and new bills for the Committee to consider.

6. **REVIEW** provide direction to staff on the proposed amendments to the Agency bylaws.

- Hale/Reimann

Several updates to the Agency bylaws are needed, including clarification of the requirements for adding a late item to the Board agenda, role of alternates on the Executive Committee, and characterization of annual member agency assessments.

7. RECEIVE report on draft agenda for June 28, 2017, TAMC Board meeting.

- Hale

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS

9. ADJOURN

NEXT MEETING August 2, 2017.

Documents relating to an item on the open session that are distributed to the Committee less than 72 hours prior to the meeting shall be available for public inspection at the office of the Transportation Agency for Monterey County, 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas, CA. Documents distributed to the Committee at the meeting by staff will be available at the meeting; documents distributed to the Committee by members of the public shall be made available after the meeting.

Transportation Agency for Monterey County 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas, CA 93901-2902 Monday thru Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. TEL: 831-775-0903 FAX: 831-775-0897

CORRESPONDENCE, MEDIA CLIPPINGS, AND REPORTS - No items this month.

Agenda Item 3.1



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| To: | Executive Committee |
|----------------------|--|
| From: | Elouise Rodriguez, Senior Administrative Assistant |
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| Subject: | Executive Committee draft minutes |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

APPROVE Executive Committee Minutes of May 3, 2017.

ATTACHMENTS:

□ Exec draft minutes

DRAFT MINUTES

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAYS EMERGENCIES AND MONTEREY COUNTY REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE JOINT POWERS AGENCY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Members are: Alejandro Chavez (Chair), John Phillips (1st Vice Chair), Robert Huitt (2nd Vice Chair), Kimbley Craig (Past Chair), Luis Alejo (County representative), Ed Smith (City representative)

> Wednesday, May 3, 2017 *** 9:00 a.m. *** Transportation Agency Conference Room 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Chavez called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Committee members present: Alejo, Chavez, Craig, Huitt, and alternate Stratton for Phillips. Staff present: Hale, Goel, Muck, Murillo, Rodriguez, Watson, and Wright. Others present: Agency Counsel Reimann; Linda Gonzalez, Supervisor Alejo's office; Reed Sanders, Senator Cannella's office; and MacGregor Eddy, The Californian "We Could Car Less" columnist.
- 2. **PUBLIC COMMENTS:** None.

3. CONSENT AGENDA:

On a motion by Committee member Huitt and seconded by Craig, the committee voted 5-0 to approve the consent agenda as follows:

3.1 Approved minutes from the Executive Committee meeting of April 5, 2017.

END OF CONSENT

4. GOLDEN HELMET AWARD:

On a motion by Committee member Alejo and seconded by Craig, the committee voted 5-0 to recommend presentation of the Golden Helmet Awards to the TAMC Board of Directors.

Virginia Murillo, Transportation Planner, reported that the Golden Helmet Awards provide an opportunity for the Agency to show appreciation to the local community for its outstanding efforts to improve transportation in Monterey County. She noted that all the nominees are volunteers.

Committee member Huitt expressed he was encouraged by the number of nominees, and supports the Bicycle and Pedestrian Committees recommendations.

5. THREE-YEAR BUDGET AND FY17/18 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM:

On a motion by Committee member Huitt and seconded by Craig, the committee voted 5-0 to recommend that the Board approve Resolution 2017-15 adopting the fiscal year 17/18 budget and overall work program and estimated budgets for fiscal years 18/19 and 19/20.

Rita Goel, Director of Finance & Administration, reported that the Agency budget separates expenditures into two types: operating and direct programs. Operating expenditures include staff salaries and benefits, materials and supplies, and equipment purchases. Direct program expenditures include outside consultants, contracts, expenditures that apply to specific project delivery tasks such as rail program, highway projects, bicycle and pedestrian program.

She highlighted the changes to the budget since the draft was presented to the Committee and Board in February. Ms. Goel noted that there is a slight increase in planning revenues. Also changing in the updated budget are revenues and expenditures related to Measure X, the new Caltrans-funded Pajaro to Prunedale and SR 218 Corridor Improvement Plans, the shift of the vacant position from Planner to Engineer and GASB 68 actuarial. The Agency continues to maintain a six-month reserve on hand for cash flow.

Committee alternate Stratton asked if the budget anticipates exceeding the 1% administrative salaries and benefits costs for Measure X. Director Hale replied that being that it is the Agency's first year working on the Measure, there are set up costs that are expected to exceed the 1% limit. She also noted that the Agency received an invoice from the County's Election Office for Measure X, and that it was around \$700,000. The amount was slightly higher than she anticipated but twice the amount MST was billed for Measure Q. a special election. Committee member Craig suggested that Ms. Hale should call the Election Office to see if the bill can be lowered.

Deputy Director Muck highlighted the draft Overall Work Program. He reported that the work program goes hand-in-hand with the budget. The annual Agency Overall Work Program describes the activities to be accomplished during the fiscal year beginning July 1 and ending June 30. He reported the Agency is still developing Measure X, and the Agency will be receiving two grants to conduct corridor planning studies in Seaside and Sand City along Highway 218. The next step is that on May 24, 2017, the Board will be asked to approve the budget and overall work program.

6. BOARD MEMBER PLACEMENT OF ITEMS ON TAMC AGENDA

The Committee discussed and considered potential changes to the TAMC bylaws regarding placement of agenda items on the Board of Director's meeting by Board members.

Executive Director Hale reported that by tradition, the TAMC Executive Committee reviews and comments on the draft regular agenda at the meeting before the Board meeting. She noted that it is rarely is there for staff to receive a request from a Board member to place an item on the agenda after the Executive Committee meets.

Committee alternate Stratton indicated that Supervisor Phillips suggested that the placement of new items on the agenda be in consultation with the Agency chair. Committee member Craig expressed her frustration regarding the item that was placed on last month's agenda; she asked that there be communication with the parties involved. Committee member Alejo commented that there should be a policy in place that allows adding an item of urgency to the agenda. Committee member Huitt concurred with Alejo, noting there should be notification to all parties involved, and suggested that there be consultation with both the Chair and Vice Chair if an item is placed on the agenda after the Executive Committee review it.

Director Hale noted that staff would like to retain discretion to place late-breaking items on the Board agenda. She and Legal Counsel Reimann will take all information received today, and will bring back a proposed Bylaws revision next month for Committee discussion, and then a recommendation can be made to the Board in June.

7. ROLE OF BOARD MEMBER ALTERNATES:

The Committee discussed the role of Board member alternates on the Executive Committee and considered whether or not to make changes to that role.

Committee member Huitt reported that he believes that the Agency's bylaws do not specifically, make any provisions for alternates on the Executive Committee. He noted that there are some alternates that are not elected officials, and they are making leadership decisions on the Executive Committee, while City alternates who are elected may not reflect the views of the Committee appointee. Committee member Alejo commented that he favors allowing alternates continuing to have a vote on the committee. The discussion did not result in a request for a change in the role of alternate committee members at this time. Staff agreed to look into clarifying the language in the Bylaws regarding the participation of alternate members on the Executive Committee.

8. TAMC DRAFT AGENDA FOR MAY 24, 2017

Executive Director Hale reviewed the highlights of the draft agenda for May 24, 2017, she reported that the Board will hold a closed session regarding rail property acquisitions. There will also be a presentation of the Golden Helmet awards to recognize residents, youth, programs/events and organizations that advocate for and encourage bicycling in Monterey County. The awards provide an opportunity to kick-off 2017 May Bike Month activities. The Board will be asked to approve the Measure X local funding agreement and distribution to the cities and County of Monterey. In conclusion, Ms. Hale reported that the Board will also be asked to approve the Three Year Budget and Fiscal Year 17/18 Overall Work Program.

9. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Board member Alejo extended his thanks to Senator Canella for his leadership on transportation legislation, via Reed Sanders.

10. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Chavez adjourned the meeting at 10:55 a.m.

Agenda Item 3.2



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| To: | Executive Committee |
|----------------------|---|
| From: | Rita Goel, Director of Finance & Administration |
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| Subject: | Executive Director and Counsel evaluation |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECOMMEND that Board of Directors **APPROVE** evaluation form, procedure, and timeline for completing annual evaluation for Executive Director and Counsel.

SUMMARY:

The Agency Bylaws require an annual evaluation of the Executive Director and Counsel.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None.

DISCUSSION:

Attached with this report are evaluation forms for the Agency's Executive Director Debra L. Hale and Counsel Kathryn Reimann. The attached evaluation forms were revised in 2016 in response to recommendations from Board Members. Forms will be sent out to Board Members via email and regular mail and upon completion, the Board Members are requested to return the evaluation to the chair either via e-mail or regular mail. The proposed procedure and timeline for completing the annual evaluation is:

1. June 30, 2017 – Staff e-mails and mails to voting members of the Board of Directors the enclosed evaluation forms for the Executive Director and Counsel.

2. August 4, 2017 - All voting Board Members complete evaluation forms and e-mail or mail to the Chair for his receipt no later than August 4, 2017.

3. August 5 - 25, 2017 – The Chair reviews completed evaluation forms and prepares summary of results to present to Executive Committee on September 6, 2017.

4. September 6, 2017 - Executive Committee meets in Closed Session to review the evaluations, formulate a recommendation to the Board of Directors and confer with the Executive Director and Agency Counsel regarding the recommendations.

5. September 27, 2017-Board Chair hands out completed evaluations to Board members for their review prior to discussion at the next Board meeting. (NOTE: These will be confidential documents to be handled by Board members appropriately)

6. October 25, 2017 – Board of Directors meets in Closed Session to receive presentation from the Executive Committee, to review the evaluations and recommendations of the Executive Committee and take any appropriate actions.

ATTACHMENTS:

- **D** Executive Director evaluation form
- **D** Counsel evaluation form

٦

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY (TAMC) PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DEBRA L. HALE

In evaluating the performance of the Executive Director, consider the factors below in arriving at your

overall rating. Check the box in each category that you think best applies.

1 = Unsatisfactory, performance does not meet job requirements.

2 = Improvement needed, performance partially meets requirements of job.

3 =Satisfactory, performance adequately meets requirements of job.

4 = Good, performance generally meets or exceeds standards or expectations.

5 = Exceptional, performance is excellent, exceeding job requirements.

| Dimension | 1* | 2* | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|----|----|---|---|---|
| Accessible to elected officials, staff and the public. | | | | | |
| Attitude. Is enthusiastic, cooperative, adaptive, energetic, willing to | | | | | |
| spend whatever time is necessary to do a good job. | | | | | |
| Communication. Keeps Board Members fully informed of issues | | | | | |
| affecting the Agency. | | | | | |
| Community Relations. Skilled in representing Agency policies to other | | | | | |
| agencies, the public, and news media. | | | | | |
| Community and professional reputation. Is regarded as a person of high | | | | | |
| integrity and ability for the agency. | | | | | |
| Decisiveness. Is able to reach timely decisions and initiate action, but is | | | | | |
| not impulsive. | | | | | |
| Execution of Policy. Understands and complies with the policies and | | | | | |
| objectives of the organization. Efforts lead to successful accomplishment | | | | | |
| of goals. | | | | | |
| Expertise and knowledge of transportation issues. | | | | | |
| Imagination. Shows originality in approaching problems. Is able to | | | | | |
| visualize the implications of various approaches | | | | | |
| Non-political but understands and works effectively in the political arena. | | | | | |
| Leadership. Motivates others to maximum performance. | | | | | |
| Loyalty. Genuine interest in work, job and the agency. Concerned with agency's image and reputation. | | | | | |
| Personnel Development. Appoints and trains effective subordinates; | | | | | |
| retains excellent staff. | | | | | |
| Presents thoughts in an orderly, understandable manner. | | | | | |
| Responds quickly and effectively to requests from Board Members for information, advice, and service. | | | | | |
| Strategic Thinking. Thinks ahead on how the organization can best | | | | | |
| approach change. | | | | | |
| Unbiased. Takes a reasonable and rational viewpoint based on facts and | | | | | |
| qualified opinions. | | | | | |
| Written reports are clear, concise, and accurate. | | | | | |

*NOTE: Please explain any rating of a "1" or a "2" in the comment section below, or use the space to provide any additional comments.

| General Comments: |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Please provide specific comment: |
| (1) Leadership and management skills: |
| (-) |
| |
| |
| (2) Staff development: |
| |
| |
| |
| (3) Reputation in the community: |
| (5) Reputation in the community. |
| |
| |
| |
| (4) Reputation with Transportation Agency member agencies: |
| |
| |
| |
| (5) Opportunities for development/ Recommendations for more emphasis or improvement |
| (5) opportunities for development, recommendations for more emphasis of improvement |
| |
| |
| |
| Overall Rating: (Consider all factors listed on page 1 in arriving at an overall rating.) |
| Unsatisfactory, performance does not meet job requirements. |
| □ Improvement needed, performance partially meets requirements of job. |
| □ Satisfactory, performance adequately meets requirements of job. |
| Good, performance generally meets or exceeds standards or expectations. Exceptional, performance is excellent, exceeding job requirements. |
| - Exceptional, performance is excentine, exceeding job requirements. |
| Signature: Date: |
| |

Print Name:

Please complete and return evaluation to Chair via e-mail: chavez4council@yahoo.com Thank you.

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY (TAMC) PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF

TAMC Counsel Kathryn Reimann

In evaluating the performance of Counsel, consider the factors below in arriving at your overall rating. Check the box in each category that you think best applies.

1 = Unsatisfactory, performance does not meet job requirements.

2 = Improvement needed, performance partially meets requirements of job.

3 = Satisfactory, performance adequately meets requirements of job.

4 = Good, performance generally meets or exceeds standards or expectations.

5 = Exceptional, performance is excellent, exceeding job requirements.

| Dimension | 1* | 2* | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|----|----|---|---|---|
| Accessible to elected officials, staff and the public. | | | | | |
| Attitude. Is enthusiastic, cooperative, adaptive, energetic, willing | | | | | |
| to spend whatever time is necessary to do a good job. | | | | | |
| Communication. Keeps Board of Directors fully informed on legal | | | | | |
| issues affecting the Agency. Advises Board Members so that all | | | | | |
| actions are in accord with Agency By-laws, state and federal law. | | | | | |
| Conflict of interest. Keeps Board Members informed of any | | | | | |
| possible conflicts of interest. | | | | | |
| Decisiveness. Is able to reach timely decisions and initiate action, | | | | | |
| but is not impulsive. | | | | | |
| Execution of Policy. Understands and complies with the policies | | | | | |
| and objectives of the organization. Efforts lead to successful | | | | | |
| accomplishment of Board directives. | | | | | |
| Expertise and knowledge of legal issues. | | | | | |
| Imagination. Shows originality in approaching problems. Is able | | | | | |
| to visualize the implications of various approaches | | | | | |
| Non-political but understands and works effectively in the | | | | | |
| political arena. | | | | | |
| Loyalty. Genuine interest in work, job and the agency. Concerned | | | | | |
| with agency's image and reputation. | | | | | |
| Presents thoughts in an orderly, understandable manner. | | | | | |
| Unbiased. Takes a reasonable and rational viewpoint based on | | | | | |
| facts and qualified opinions. | | | | | |
| Quarterly written reports are clear, concise, and accurate. | | | | | |

| General Comments: |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Please provide specific comment: |
| (1) Leadership and management skills: |
| |
| |
| (2) Knowledge of legal requirements affecting TAMC: |
| (2) Knowledge of legal requirements affecting TAME. |
| |
| |
| |
| (3) Reputation in the community: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| (4) Reputation with member agencies: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| (5) Opportunities for development / Recommendations for more emphasis or improvement: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Overall Rating: (Consider all factors listed on page 1 in arriving at an overall rating.) |
| □ Unsatisfactory, performance does not meet job requirements. |
| Improvement needed, performance partially meets requirements of job. |
| □ Satisfactory, performance adequately meets requirements of job. |
| □ Good, performance generally meets or exceeds standards or expectations. |
| Exceptional, performance is excellent, exceeding job requirements. |
| |
| Signature: Date: |
| |

Print Name:_____

Please complete and return evaluation to Chair via e-mail: chavez4council@yahoo.com Thank you.

Agenda Item 3.3



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| Subject: | Measure X: Election Costs |
|---------------|---|
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| From: | Dave Delfino, Finance Officer / Analyst |
| To: | Executive Committee |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE a report regarding the ballot Measure X election costs charged by the Monterey County Elections Department.

SUMMARY:

The Transportation Agency for Monterey's Measure X costs charged by the Monterey County Elections Department were \$715,272.35. TAMC staff met with the Monterey County Registrar of Voters and members of his staff to review the election costs allocated to Measure X. All costs were valid elections costs that were allocated following the appropriate allocation methodology followed by the Election Department.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Election costs of \$715,272.35 were allocated by the Monterey County Elections Department to ballot Measure X.

DISCUSSION:

On May 9, 2017 TAMC staff met with Claudio Valenzuela, Registrar of Voters and members of his staff to discuss and review the election costs allocated by his Elections Department to Measure X.

The Election Department staff fully explained their allocation methodology. They allocate common election costs to ballot items by the total of registered voter. In the November 8, 2016 election the Measure X percentage of registered voters to total was 20.366 %. The Election Department provided their spreadsheets for staff to review.

All costs were tied from the provided Election Department detail cost sheets to the summary invoice by description of charges. The Measure X cost per registered voter was \$3.85. This cost was in the

range of the estimate that the Election Department had provided TAMC.

The cost per voter was much higher than the MST Measure for the November 4, 2014 election. The reasons for the increased cost were the following: first, the November 8, 2016 election had a larger turnout because it was a presidential election; second, the Measure X had considerably more pages of print in the Voter Information Guide (including translation), and thus much higher printing costs; and third, the County Budget Office implemented a new way of allocating indirect cost. All these factors caused the Measure X cost per voter to be \$3.85.

Staff has reviewed the costs for Measure X and found them to be appropriately allocated to the Measure.

ATTACHMENTS:

D Measure X Election Invoice and Support Documentation



MONTEREY COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT 1370 B SOUTH MAIN ST SALINAS, CA 93901

Phone: (831) 796-1499

Fax: (831) 755-5485

| INVOICE TO: | | |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|
| TRANSPORTATION AG | ENCY FOF | R MC |
| 55-B PLAZA CIR | | ^т (ж. |
| SALINAS, CA 93901 | | |

| Invoice Number: | 161121 |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Invoice Date: | 4/24/2017 |
| Payment Due: | 5/29/2017 |

| Description of Charges for November 8, 2016 | Amount |
|---|------------------|
| Setup Fee | \$ 150.00 |
| County Employees | \$ 74,383.09 |
| Temporary Staff | \$ 112,883.57 |
| Transport | \$ 6,835.78 |
| Legal | \$ 1,860.76 |
| Office | \$ 37,083.75 |
| Ballot Printing and Mailing | \$ 103,822.53 |
| Voter Information Pamphlet | \$ 287,671.27 |
| Voting Equipment Rental / Maintenance | \$ 40,635.22 |
| Indirect Cost | \$ 49,946.37 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT DUE | \$ 715,272.35 |
| Registered Voters | 185,786 |
| Cost Per Registered Voter | \$ 3.85 |

Please make remittance payable to MONTEREY COUNTY. Please attach one copy of this invoice with payment.

Nov 8, 2016 Election Cost Summary

| Common | Election |
|--------|----------|
| | |

| Common Election | \$ | 1,667,370.31 | |
|--|-----|--------------|---|
| Setup Fee | \$ | 4,350.00 | |
| Effective Common Election (less Setup Fee) | \$ | 1,663,020.31 | |
| Legal Notices Local | \$ | | • |
| Voter Information Guide | \$ | 872,190.36 | |
| Voting Equipment | \$ | 192,763.32 | |
| Indirect Cost | \$. | 245,241.16 | |
| Total Election Cost | \$ | 2,977,565.15 | |
| Revenue | | | |
| Billable to Jurisdictions | \$ | 1,863,039.83 | |
| SB 90 AB Amount to be Claimed by County to State | \$ | - | |
| Total | \$ | 1,863,039.83 | |
| | | | |

General Fund Contribution

\$ 1,114,525.31

20,4070

| | Districts, Nonbillable | | Reg Voters | Pro Rata Cost Factor | Setup Fee | Common Election | Legal Notices Local | VIG | Voting Equipment Rental / Maintenance | Indirect | Total Before SB 90 AB Credit | Per Registered Voter |
|--------|------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| STATE | | | 185,786 | 0.20366225 | \$ 150.00 | \$ 338,694.46 | | \$58,196.34 | 39,258.61 | \$ 49,946.37 | \$ 486,245.77 | \$ 2.62 |
| COUNTY | | | 185,786 | 0.20366225 | \$ 150.00 | \$ 338,694.46 | | \$203,950.03 | 39,258.61 | \$ 49,946.37 | \$ 631,999.47 | \$ 3.40 |
| | | Subtotal | 371,572 | 0.407325 | \$ 300.00 | \$ 677,388.92 | | \$262,146.37 | \$78,517.22 | \$99,892.73 | \$1,118,245.24 | |

| | | • | | | | | | | | | Voting Equipment | | | | | | Per |
|---|----------|------------|-------------|------|----------|-------------|--------------|--|--------------|------|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|-----|----------|
| | | | Pro Rata | | | | Common | Legal Notices | | | Rental / | | | Tot | al Before SB | Re | gistered |
| Districts, Billable | | Reg Voters | Cost Factor | S | etup Fee | | Election | Local | VIG | N | laintenance | | Indirect | | AB Credit | | Voter |
| City of Del Rey Oaks (A/B/m/cc) | | 1,168 | 0.001280384 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 2,129.31 | | \$2,114.69 | \$ | 246.81 | \$. | 314.00 | \$ | 4,954.81 | \$ | 4.24 |
| City of Gonzales (W/cc) | | 3,029 | 0.003320449 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 5,521.97 | | \$4,434.95 | \$ | 640.06 | \$ | 814.31 | \$ | 11,561.29 | \$ | 3.82 |
| City of Greenfield (O/cc) | | 4,604 | 0.005046995 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 8,393.26 | and the second | \$7,056.28 | \$ | 972.88 | \$ | 1,237.73 | \$ | 17,810.14 | \$ | 3.87 |
| City of King (J/cc) | | 3,125 | 0.003425686 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 5,696.99 | | \$4,608.25 | \$ | 660.35 | \$ | 840.12 | \$ | 11,955.70 | \$ | 3.83 |
| City of Marina (U/V/m/cc) | | 10,489 | 0.011498247 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 19,121.82 | | \$11,930.68 | \$ | 2,216.44 | \$ | 2,819.84 | \$ | 36,238.78 | \$ | 3.45 |
| City of Monterey (G/H/I/m/cc) | | 15,415 | 0.016898225 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 28,102.09 | | \$29,358.46 | \$ | 3,257.36 | \$ | 4,144.14 | \$ | 65,012.05 | \$ | 4.22 |
| City of Pacific Grove (P/m/cc) | | 10,165 | 0.011143072 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 18,531.16 | | \$14,965.64 | \$ | 2,147.98 | \$ | 2,732.74 | \$ | 38,527.51 | \$ | 3.79 |
| City of Salinas (L/m/cc) | | 57,815 | 0.063377935 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 105,398.79 | | \$75,263.64 | \$ | 12,216.94 | \$ | 15,542.88 | \$ | 208,572.25 | \$ | 3.61 |
| City of Seaside (m/cc) | | 13,263 | 0.01453916 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 24,178.92 | | \$6,065.17 | \$] | 2,802.62 | \$ | 3,565.60 | \$ | 36,762.30 | \$ | 2.77 |
| City of Soledad (F/cc) | | 5,832 | 0.006393153 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 10,631.94 | | \$3,682.70 | \$ | 1,232.37 | \$ | 1,567.86 | \$ | 17,264.87 | \$ | 2.96 |
| Aromas-San Juan USD | | 1,723 | 0.001888786 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 3,141.09 | | \$1,685.30 | \$ | 364.09 | \$ | 463.21 | \$ | 5,803.69 | \$ | 3.37 |
| Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System | | 19,108 | 0.020946564 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 34,834.56 | | \$3,113.81 | \$ | 4,037.73 | \$ | 5,136.96 | \$ | 47,273.06 | \$ | 2.47 |
| ALISAL USD (M) | | 21,216 | 0.023257395 | \$ | 150.00 | \$· · | 38,677.52 | a da ser a ser en el ser en el ser el se | \$13,726.93 | \$. | 4,483.17 | \$ | 5,703.67 | \$ | 62,741.29 | \$ | 2.96 |
| AROMAS TRI COUNTY FPD (S) | | 4,185 | 0.004587679 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 7,629.40 | | \$1,100.19 | \$ | 884.34 | ·\$ | 1,125.09 | \$ | 10,889.02 | \$ | 2.60 |
| COALINGA-HURON JOINT USD (R) | | 9 | 9.86598E-06 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 16.41 | | \$907.48 | \$ | 1.90 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 1,078.21 | \$ | 119.80 |
| HARTNELL COMMUNITY CD (T) | | 109,663 | 0.120214727 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 199,919.53 | Sector 1. | \$89,055.73 | \$ | 23,172.99 | \$ | 29,481.60 | \$ | 341,779.86 | \$ | 3.12 |
| MONTEREY PEN. REG. PARK DIST (E) | | 73,744 | 0.080839616 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 134,437.92 | and the second | \$54,841.04 | \$ | 15,582.91 | \$ | 19,825.20 | \$ | 224,837.08 | \$ | 3.05 |
| SAN ARDO UNION ELEM SD (N) | | 202 | 0.000221436 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 368.25 | | \$817.15 | \$ | 42.68 | \$ | 54.31 | \$ | 1,432.39 | \$ | 7.09 |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT USD (D) | | 24. | 2.63093E-05 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 43.75 | the second states the | \$666.46 | \$ | 5.07 | \$ | 6.45 | \$ | 871.74 | \$: | 36.32 |
| SHANDON JOINT USD (K) | | 89 | 9.75635E-05 | \$ | 150.00 | \$. | 162.25 | | \$698.11 | \$ | 18.81 | \$ | 23.93 | \$ | 1,053.10 | \$ | 11.83 |
| TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MC (X) | | 185,786 | 0.20366225 | \$ 👡 | 150.00 | \$ | 338,694.46 | | \$287,671.27 | \$ | 39,258.61 | \$ | 49,946.37 | \$ | 715,720.70 | \$_ | 3.85 |
| | Subtotal | 540,654 | 0.592675 | \$ | 3,150.00 | \$ | 985,631.39 | | \$613,763.92 | \$ | 114,246.09 | \$: | 145,348.42 | \$1 | ,862,139.83 | | |
| | Total | 912,226 | 1.000000 | \$ | 3,450.00 | \$ | 1,663,020.31 | | \$875,910.29 | \$ | 192,763.32 | \$2 | 245,241.16 | \$2 | ,980,385.08 | | |

Nov 8, 2016 Election Cost

| Summary | | | District Cost Factor | Tot | tal 1.00 | | ATE 0.20366225 | | UNTY 0.20366225 | | TION AGENCY FC 0.20366225 |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------|---------|-------------------|----|--------------------|----|------------------------------|
| Common Election | \$ | 1,667,370.31 | | \$ | 1,663,063.81 | | 338,246.11 | | | | 338,246.11 |
| Setup Fee Effective Common Election (less Setu | • • | 4,350.00 1,663,020.31 | | \$ | 3,450.00 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 150.00 / |
| Legal Notices Local | \$ | - | | | | | | , | | | |
| Voter Information Pamphlet | \$ | 872,190.36 | | \$ | 875,910.29 | | 58,196.34 | • | , | • | 287,671.27 🗸 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,539,560.67 | | \$ | 2,539,560.67 | Ş | 517,212.64 | Ş | 517,212.64 | Ş | 517,212.64 |
| Voting Equipment | \$ | 192,763.32 | | \$ | 192,763.32 | | 39,258.61 | | 39,258.61 | | 39,258.61 |
| Indirect Cost | \$ | 245,241.16 | | \$ | 245,241.16 | \$ | 49,946.37 | \$ | 49,946.37 | \$ | 49,946.37 |
| Total Election Cost | \$ | 2,977,565.15 | Bill Total | \$ | 2,980,428.58 | \$ | 485,797.42 | \$ | 631,551.12 | \$ | 715,272.35 |
| Detail | | | Registered Voters | | 912,226 | | 185,786 | | 185,786 | | 185,786 |
| Salaries and Benefits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County Employees | | Cost | | | | | | | | | |
| Administration | \$ | 63,109.98 | | \$ | 63,109.98 | Ś | 12.853.12 | \$ | 12,853.12 | Ś | 12,853.12 |
| VBM Voting | \$ | 50,947.73 | | Ś | 49,512.23 | Ś | , 10,083.77 | | 10,083.77 | \$ | 10,083.77 |
| CH 77/78: Absentee Ballots | \$ | - | | \$ | - | , \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | |
| CH 1422/82: Perm Absentee Voters | \$ | - | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Precinct Services | \$ | 46,603.89 | | \$ | 46,603.89 | \$ | 9,491.45 | \$ | 9,491.45 | \$ | 9,491.45 |
| Candidates | \$ | 59,492.02 | | \$ | 58,056.52 | \$ | 11,823.92 | \$ | 11,823.92 | \$ | 11,823.92 |
| Systems Preparation / Warehouse | \$ | 78,769.78 | | \$ | 77,334.28 | \$ | 15,750.07 | \$ | 15,750.07 | \$ | 15,750.07 |
| Registration / Outreach | \$ | 31,591.27 | | \$ | 31,591.27 | \$ | 6,433.95 | \$ | 6,433.95 | \$ | 6,433.95 |
| Canvass | \$ | 39,019.53 | | \$ | 39,019.53 | \$ | 7,946.81 | \$ | 7,946.81 | \$ | 7,946.81 |
| District Specific Timecard Charges | \$ | | | \$ | - | \$ | · _ | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | Subtotal \$ | 369,534.19 | | \$ | 365,227.69 | \$ | 74,383.09 | \$ | 74,383.09 | \$ | 74,383.09 |

/

| Temporary | Staff |
|-----------|-------|
| remporary | Juan |

| Temporary Staff | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Administration | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ _ |
| Absentee Voting | \$ | 142,567.81 | \$- | 142,567.81 | \$ 29,035.68 | \$ 29,035.68 | \$ 29,035.68 |
| CH 77/78: Absentee Ballots | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ · _ |
| District Specific Timecard Charges | \$ | 2,244.95 | \$ | 2,244.95 | | | |
| Precinct Services | \$ | 79,953.09 | \$ | 79,953.09 | \$ 16,283.43 | \$ 16,283.43 | \$ 16,283.43 |
| Candidates | \$ | 30,113.92 | \$ | 30,113.92 | \$ 6,133.07 | \$ 6,133.07 | \$ 6,133.07 |
| Systems Preparation / Warehouse | \$ | 72,167.59 | \$ | 72,167.59 | \$ 14,697.81 | \$ 14,697.81 | \$ 14,697.81 |
| Registration / Outreach | \$ | 77,964.52 | \$ | 77,964.52 | \$ 15,878.43 | \$ 15,878.43 | \$ 15,878.43 |
| Canvass | \$ | 22,568.92 | \$ | 22,568.92 | \$ 4,596.44 | 4,596.44 | \$ 4,596.44 |
| S | ubtotal \$ | 427,580.80 | \$ | 427,580.80 | \$ 86,624.86 | \$ 86,624.86 | \$ 86,624.86 XX |
| Staff Support | | | | | | | |
| Employee Training | \$ | 303.34 | \$ | 303.34 | \$ 61.78 | \$ 61.78 | \$ 61.78 |
| Poll Workers | \$ | 126,862.95 | \$ | 126,862.95 | \$ 25,837.19 | \$ 25,837.19 | \$ 25,837.19 |
| Recruitment / Advertising | \$ | 1,028.52 | \$ | 1,028.52 | \$ 209.47 | \$ 209.47 | \$ 209.47 |
| Bottled Water | \$ | 737.85 | \$ | 737.85 | \$ 150.27 | \$ 150.27 | \$ 150.27 |
| Food | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| S | ubtotal \$ | 128,932.66 | \$ | 128,932.66 | \$ 26,258.72 | \$ 26,258.72 | \$ 26,258.72 🗙 |
| Information Technology | | | | | | | |
| Data Processing Services | \$ | 22,788.30 | \$ | 22,788.30 | \$ 4,641.12 | \$ 4,641.12 | \$ 4,641.12 |
| Regular Phones | \$ | 642.75 | \$ | 642.75 | \$ 130.90 | \$ 130.90 | \$ 130.90 |
| Cellular Phones | \$ | 672.08 | \$ | 672.08 | \$ 136.88 | \$ 136.88 | \$ 136.88 |
| Software | \$ | 35,484.77 | \$ | 35,484.77 | \$ 7,226.91 | \$ 7,226.91 | \$ 7,226.91 |
| Hardware | \$ | 6,686.45 | · \$ | 6,686.45 | \$ 1,361.78 | \$ 1,361.78 | \$ 1,361.78 |
| S | ubtotal \$ | 66,274.35 | \$ | 66,274.35 | \$ 13,497.58 | \$ 13,497.58 | \$ 13,497.58 📈 |
| Transport | | | | | | | |
| Courier / Mail | \$ | 1,907.86 | \$ | 1,907.86 | \$ 388.56 | \$ 388.56 | \$ 388.56 |
| County Vehicles and Fuel | \$ | 4,852.38 | \$ | 4,852.38 | \$ 988.25 | \$ 988.25 | \$ 988.25 |
| Drayage | \$ | 26,804.08 | \$ | 26,804.08 | \$ 5,458.98 | \$ 5,458.98 | \$ 5,458.98 |
| S | ubtotal \$ | 33,564.32 | \$ | 33,564.32 | \$ 6,835.78 | \$ 6,835.78 | \$ 6,835.78 |
| Legal | | | | | | | |
| Publication and Legal Notices Common | \$ | 9,136.52 | \$ | 9,136.52 | \$ 1,860.76 | \$ 1,860.76 | \$ 1,860.76 |
| Publication and Legal Notices Local | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Subtota | I Local \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Subtotal Co | mmon \$ | 9,136.52 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - / |
| Lega | il Total \$ | 9,136.52 | \$ | 9,136.52 | \$ 1,860.76 | \$ 1,860.76 | \$ 1,860.76 |

STATE COUNTY

| Office | | | | | | 4 | -11/11/2 | | | • | | |
|---|-----|--------------|------|---------------|---|------|-------------|----|------------|-----|-------------------|---|
| Rental | \$` | 44,410.74 | \$ | 44,410.74 | | \$ | 9,044.79 | \$ | 9,044.79 | \$ | 9,044.79 | |
| Liability Insurance | \$ | 2,158.07 | Ş | 2,158.07 | | \$ | 439.52 | \$ | 439.52 | - | 439.52 | |
| Copy Machine and Computer Leases | \$ | 16,333.98 | \$ | 16.333.98 | | Ś | 3,326.62 | \$ | 3,326.62 | \$ | 3,326.62 | |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 51,204.12 | \$ | 51,204.12 | | Ś | 10,428.35 | \$ | 10,428.35 | \$ | 10,428.35 | |
| Custodial Supplies | \$ | 1,129.32 | \$ | 1,129.32 | | Ś | 230.00 | Ś | 230.00 | Ś | 230.00 | |
| Records Retention | \$ | - | \$ | -, | | Ś | | \$ | | Ś | - | |
| Security | \$ | 573.97 | \$ | 573.97 | | \$ | 116.90 | \$ | 116.90 | Ś | 116.90 | |
| Subtotal | \$ | 115,810.21 | \$ | 115,810.21 | | \$ | 23,586.17 | \$ | 23,586.17 | \$ | 23,586.17 🗙 | |
| Equipment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parts . | \$ | 4,775.71 | \$ | 4,775.71 | | \$ | 972.63 | \$ | 972.63 | \$ | 972.63 | |
| Repair Services | \$ | 1,983.57 | \$ | 1,983.57 | | \$ | 403.98 | \$ | 403.98 | \$ | 403.98 | _ |
| Subtotal | \$ | 6,759.28 | \$ | 6,759.28 | | \$ | 1,376.61 | \$ | 1,376.61 | \$ | 1,376.61 |) |
| Ballot Printing and Mailing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postage | \$ | 51,611.11 | \$ | 51,611.11 | | \$ | 10,511.24 | \$ | 10,511.24 | \$ | 10,511.24 | |
| Absentee Ballot | \$ | 244,694.77 | \$ | 244,694.77 | | \$ | 49,835.09 | \$ | 49,835.09 | \$ | 49,835.09 🦯 | |
| Ballots / Plates / Other Election | \$ | 156,677.64 | \$ | 156,677.64 | | \$ | 31,909.32 | \$ | 31,909.32 | \$ | 31,909.32 🖌 | |
| Administration | \$ | 53,452.05 | \$ | 53,452.05 | | \$ | 10,886.17 | \$ | 10,886.17 | \$ | 10,886.17 | |
| Translation Common | \$ | 3,342.41 | \$ | 3,342.41 | | \$ | 680.72 | \$ | 680.72 | \$ | 680.72 - | |
| Translation Local | \$ | 13,997.97 | \$ | 17,717.90 | | \$ | 414.40 | \$ | 3,719.93 | \$ | 01 1007 | |
| Voter Info Pamphlet Printing, Handling, Mailing | \$ | 891,760.50 | \$ | 891,760.50 | | Ę | 90,353.33 | Ç | 200,230.10 | \$0 | 01 170 287,671.27 | |
| Candidate Statement Reimbursements | \$ | (33,568.11) | \$ | (33,568.11) | / | \$ | (32,571.40) | \$ | - | \$ | 91010 | |
| Subtotal | \$ | 1,381,968.35 | \$ 1 | ,385,688.28 🗸 | | | 162,018.87 | • | 307,772.57 | \$ | 391,493.80 | _ |
| Subtotal Ballot Printing and Mailing Common | \$ | 509,777.98 | \$ | 509,777.98 | | \$ ' | 103,822.53 | \$ | 103,822.53 | \$ | 103,822.53 🛰 | |
| Subtotal Voter Information Pamphlet | \$ | 872,190.36 | \$ | 875,910.29 | | \$ | 58,196.34 | \$ | 203,950.03 | \$ | 287,671.27 🗸 | |
| Voting Equipment Rental / Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Edge II (DRE) | \$ | 51,736.00 | \$ | 51,736.00 | | \$ | 10,536.67 | \$ | 10,536.67 | \$ | 10,536.67 | |
| VVPAT | \$ | 19,573.13 | \$ | 19,573.13 | | \$ | 3,986.31 | \$ | | \$ | 3,986.31 | |
| 400-C | \$ | 24,032.50 | \$ | 24,032.50 | | \$ | 4,894.51 | \$ | 4,894.51 | \$ | 4,894.51 | |
| Audio Units | \$ | 1,720.00 | \$ | 1,720.00 | | \$ | 350.30 | \$ | 350.30 | \$ | 350.30 | |
| Card Activators | \$ | 4,804.80 | \$ | 4,804.80 | | \$ | 978.56 | \$ | 978.56 | \$ | 978.56 | |
| Voter Cards | \$ | 206.08 | \$ | 206.08 | | \$ | 41.97 | | 41.97 | \$ | 41.97 | |
| WinEDS / BPS | \$ | 30,118.05 | \$ | 30,118.05 | | \$ | 6,133.91 | | • | \$ | 6,133.91 | |
| WinEDS license | \$ | 33,242.76 | \$ | 33,242.76 | | \$ | 6,770.30 | \$ | • | \$ | 6,770.30 | |
| WinEDS annual maintenance | \$ | 25,500.00 | \$ | 25,500.00 | | \$ | 5,193.39 | \$ | 5,193.39 | \$ | 5,193.39 | |
| Opex service | \$ | 1,830.00 | \$ | 1,830.00 | | \$ | | \$ | 372.70 | \$ | 372.70 |) |
| Subtotal | \$ | 192,763.32 | \$ | 192,763.32 | | \$ | 39,258.61 | \$ | 39,258.61 | \$ | 39,258.61 C | J |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \checkmark | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

\$

| | Week Ending | 4-00 (1949-0) 723 (2019-0 1979 (2019-0) | Total | antangan kapata dan da kara kara kara kara kara kara kara k | | Anna Alina ana ao amin' ami | <u>eessi ee</u> (, , , 1999). | Precinct Services / | Candidates / | Systems Preparation / Warehouse / Tabulation / Reimaging / | Registration / |
|----------------------|-------------|--|-------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--|----------------|
| Employee Name | Date | Total Cost | Hours | Regular | Overtime | Administration | VBM | Poll Worker Training | FPPC Filing | Ballot Proofing | Outreach |
| Cassinelli, Charles | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,728.63 | 30.00 | \$ 1,728.63 | \$ ⁻ - | | | | | 30.00 | |
| Garcia, Jose | 10/21/2016 | \$ 2,391.11 | 56.50 | \$ 1,670.05 | \$721.07 | | | 56.50 | | | |
| Kikuchi, Mary | 10/21/2016 | \$ 396.34 | 8.50 | \$ 396.34 | | | | | | | 8.50 |
| Martinez, Gina | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,392.72 | 23.00 | \$ 1,392.72 | | 17.00 | | 6.00 | | | |
| Arevalo, Greta | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,865.12 | 52.50 | \$ 1,865.12 | | | | 3.00 | 7.50 | | 42.00 |
| Silvia, Mark | 10/21/2016 | \$ 2,457.21 | 48.50 | \$ 2,457.21 | | 15.00 | | 3.00 | | 29.00 | 1.50 |
| Valenzuela, Claudio | 10/21/2016 | \$ 2,952.94 | 32.00 | \$ 2,952.94 | | 28.00 | | | | 4.00 | |
| Cabrera, Claudia | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,676.24 | 65.75 | \$ 1,676.24 | | | 65.75 | | | | |
| Lesik, Izabela | 10/21/2016 | \$ 403.62 | 8.00 | \$ 403.62 | | 8.00 | | | | | |
| Cedillo, Jessica | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,576.57 | 39.50 | \$ 1,576.57 | | | 13.50 | | 4.00 | | 22.00 |
| Ferrer, Crystal | 10/21/2016 | \$ 694.49 | 20.50 | \$ 694.49 | \$- | | 9.50 | | 1.00 | 2.00 | 8.00 |
| Andrade, Raul | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,090.67 | 67.25 | \$ 560.40 | \$530.27 | | | 67.25 | | | |
| Gasca-Rojas, Vanessa | 10/21/2016 | \$ - | 0.00 | \$- | \$- | | | | | | |
| Peraza, Alma | 10/21/2016 | \$- | 0.00 | \$- | \$- | | | | | | |
| Garcia, Katiria | 10/21/2016 | \$ 659.98 | 46.50 | \$ 538.45 | \$121.53 | | 46.50 | | | | |
| Haramis, Sonya | 10/21/2016 | \$ 249.03 | 18.50 | \$ 249.03 | \$ - | | | | | | 18.50 |
| Cunningham, Patricia | 10/21/2016 | \$- | 0.00 | \$ - | \$- | | | | | | |
| Gallegos, Mary Helen | 10/21/2016 | \$ 1,620.48 | 57.00 | \$ 1,620.48 | | | | 57.00 | | | |
| Cassinelli, Charles | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,483.74 | 25.75 | \$ 1,483.74 | \$- | | | | | 25.75 | |
| Garcia, Jose | 10/28/2016 | \$ 2,303.71 | 54.50 | \$ 1,670.05 | ¥ \$633.66 | | | 54.50 | | | |
| Kikuchi, Mary | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,095.76 | 23.50 | \$ 1,095.76 | \$555.55 | | 4.00 | | | | 19.50 |
| Martinez, Gina | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,604.66 | 26.50 | \$ 1,604.66 | | 21.50 | | 3.00 | | | 2.00 |
| Arevalo, Greta | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,865.12 | 46.50 | \$ 1,865.12 | | 2 | | | | | 46.50 |
| Silvia, Mark | 10/28/2016 | \$ 2,457.21 | 47.00 | \$ 2,457.21 | | 9.00 | | 2.00 | | 34.50 | 1.50 |
| Valenzuela, Claudio | 10/28/2016 | \$ 2,860.66 | 31.00 | \$ 2,860.66 | | 27.00 | | | | 4.00 | |
| Cabrera, Claudia | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,676.24 | 64.00 | \$ 1,676.24 | | | 64.00 | | | | |
| Lesik, Izabela | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,248.70 | 24.75 | \$ 1,248.70 | | 24.50 | • | | | | 0.25 |
| Cedillo, Jessica | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,596.53 | 50.50 | \$ 1,596.53 | | 2 | 6.00 | | 21.00 | | 23.50 |
| Ferrer, Crystal | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,194.18 | 35.25 | \$ 1,194.18 | \$ - | | 18.75 | | | | 16.50 |
| Andrade, Raul | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,290.13 | 77.50 | \$ 560.40 | ¢ \$729.73 | | | 77.50 | | | |
| Gasca-Rojas, Vanessa | 10/28/2016 | \$ - | 0.00 | \$ | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Peraza, Alma | 10/28/2016 | \$- | 0.00 | \$- | \$- | | | | | | |
| Garcia, Katiria | 10/28/2016 | \$ 781.52 | 53.00 | \$ 538.45 | \$243.07 | | 48.00 | | | | 5.00 |
| Haramis, Sonya | 10/28/2016 | \$ 730.10 | 50.25 | \$ 538.45 | \$191.65 | | | | | | 50.25 |
| Cunningham, Patricia | 10/28/2016 | \$ - | 0.00 | \$ - \$ - | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Gallegos, Mary Helen | 10/28/2016 | \$ 1,620.48 | 57.00 | \$ 1,620.48 | ¥ | | | 57.00 | | | |
| | | ÷ .,5±0.10 | 07.00 | + ., | | | | | | | |

SUMMARY

HOURS

| | Monterey | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|
| | 42682 | |
| Production Path: | | EC |
| ORDER QTY: | 1150 1050 | 1900 |
| Page Count | | ТЗ |
| | 132 140 | 132 |
| | | |
| Number of Voter Information Pamphlets | 1150 1050 | 1900 |
| Common Pages | 25 31 | 27 |
| Local Pages | 107 109 | 105 |
| Pages Per Ballot Type | 132 140 | 132 |
| | 102 140 | 152 |
| Common Pages | 28750 32550 | 51300 |
| Local Pages | 123050 114450 | 199500 |
| Total Pages | 151800 147000 | 250800 |
| | | |
| Common Pages Cost | \$ 1,066.65 \$ 1,207.63 \$ | |
| Local Pages Cost | \$ 4,565.24 \$ 4,246.18 \$ | |
| Total Cost | \$ 5,631.89 \$ 5,453.80 \$ | 9,304.86 |
| | | |
| LOCAL PAGES | | |
| State | 4 4 | |
| County (Y/Z) | 4 4 19 19 | 4 |
| City of Del Rey Oaks (A/B/m/cc) | 19 19 | 19 |
| City of Gonzales (W/cc) | | |
| City of Greenfield (O/cc) | | |
| City of King (J/cc) | | |
| City of Marina (U/V/m/cc) | | |
| City of Monterey (G/H/I/m/cc) | | |
| City of Pacific Grove (P/m/cc) | | |
| City of Salinas (L/m/cc) | 25 27 | 25 |
| City of Seaside (m/cc) | | 25 |
| City of Soledad (F/cc) | | |
| Aromas-San Juan USD | | |
| Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System | 2 2 | · |
| ALISAL USD (M) | 13 13 | 13 |
| AROMAS TRI COUNTY FPD (S) | · · · | |
| COALINGA-HURON JOINT USD (R) | | |
| HARTNELL COMMUNITY CD (T) | 14 14 | 14 |
| MONTEREY PEN. REG. PARK DIST (E) | | |
| SAN ARDO UNION ELEM SD (N) | | |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT USD (D) | | |
| SHANDON JOINT USD (K) | | |
| TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MC (X) | 30 30 | 30 |
| | | |

| | 12500 | | 6600 | | 23000 |) | | 3850 | | 2100 | | 300 | | Fotal | | |
|------|-------------------|----|------------------|----|-------------------|---|-------|------------------|---|-----------------|------|---------------|---|-------|----------------------|---|
| BT55 | | BT | | | 57 | | BT 58 | | | 59 100 | BT 6 | | , | | 214260 | |
| | 116 | | 100 | | 92 | | | 100 | | 92 | | 84 | ŀ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12500 | | 6600 | | 23000 | , | | 3850 | | 2100 | | 200 | | | 24.42.60 | / |
| | 26 | | 31 | | 25000 | | | · 31 | | 2100 26 | | 300 29 | | | 214260 1736 | / |
| | 90 | | 69 | | 66 | | | 69 | | 66 | | 55 | | | 4920 | |
| | 116 | | 100 | | 92 | | | 100 | | 92 | | 84 | Ļ | | 6656 | |
| | 225000 | | 204600 | | 500000 | | | 110050 | | | | 0700 | | | | |
| | 325000 1125000 | | 204600 455400 | | 598000 1518000 | | | 119350 265650 | | 54600 138600 | | 8700 16500 | | | 6228139 | |
| | 1450000 | | 660000 | | 2116000 | | | 385000 | | 193200 | | 25200 | | | 17808077 24036216 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 21000,210 | |
| | .2,057.73 | \$ | | | 22,186.22 | | | | | 2,025.70 | | 322.78 | | | 231,068.33 | |
| | 1,738.29 | \$ | 16,895.66 | \$ | • | | | 9,855.80 | | | | 612.16 | | | 560,692.17 | |
| Ş 3 | 3,796.02 | Ş | 24,486.46 | Ş | 78,505.09 | | \$ 14 | ,283.77 | Ş | 7,167.86 | Ş | 934.94 | | \$ 8 | 391,760.50 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | | 6 | | 6 | | | 6 | | 6 | | 6 | | | 290 | |
| | 19 | | 19 | | 19 | | | 19 | | 19 | | - 19 | | | 1140 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 25 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 98 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 38 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 38 | |
| | 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 24 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 518 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 14 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 130 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13 | |
| | | | 14 | | | | | 14 | | | | | | | 574 | |
| | 11 | | | | 11 | | | | | 11 | | | | | 110 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | |
| | 30 | | 30 | | 30 | | | 30 | | 30 | | 30 | | | 10 1800 | |
| | 50 | | 50 | | | | | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | | 1000 | |

| Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System | \$ 85.33 | \$ 77.91 | \$ - |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| ALISAL USD (M) | \$ 554.66 | \$ 506.42 | \$ 916.39 |
| AROMAS TRI COUNTY FPD (S) | \$ | \$ - | \$ - |
| COALINGA-HURON JOINT USD (R) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| HARTNELL COMMUNITY CD (T) | \$ 597.32 | \$ 545.38 | \$ 986.88 |
| MONTEREY PEN. REG. PARK DIST (E) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| SAN ARDO UNION ELEM SD (N) | \$ - | \$ · - ` | \$. . ' |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT USD (D) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| SHANDON JOINT USD (K) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MC (X) | \$ 1,279.97 | \$ 1,168.67 | \$ 2,114.74 |
| | 34,500 | 31,500 | 57,000 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ 4,565.24 | \$ 4,246.18 | \$ 7,401.59 |
| TOTAL COST | \$ 5,631.89 | \$ 5,453.80 | \$ 9,304.86 |

| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,109.31 |
|-----------------|-----|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,682.84 |
| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ • - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 163.24 |
| \$ - | \$′ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 23.63 |
| \$ - ' | \$ | 3,428.10 | \$ - | \$ 1,999.73 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 61,054.55 |
| \$ 5,101.35 | \$ | · – | \$ 9,386.48 | \$ - | \$ 857.03 | \$ - | \$ 35,097.26 |
| \$ - | \$ | . - | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ 122.43 |
| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 20.41 |
| \$ - | \$ | . | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 50.09 |
| \$ 13,912.76 | \$ | 7,345.94 | \$ 25,599.48 | \$ 4,285.13 | \$ 2,337.34 | \$ 333.91 | \$ 238,475.90 🗙 |
| 375,000 | | 198,000 | 690,000 | 115,500 | 63,000 | 9,000 | 6,427,800 |
| \$ 41,738.29 | \$ | 16,895.66 | \$ 56,318.87 | \$ 9,855.80 | \$ 5,142.16 | \$ 612.16 | \$ 660,692.17 |
| \$ 53,796.02 | \$ | 24,486.46 | \$ 78,505.09 | \$ 14,283.77 | \$ 7,167.86 | \$ 934.94 | \$ 891,760.50 |
| | | | | | | | |

| Total Pages per BT Total Jurisdictions per BT | | | 107 7 | 109 7 | 105 6 | |
|--|-----------|-----|----------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| COMMON PAGES COST | | · . | | | | |
| State | | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| County (Y/Z) | | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| City of Del Rey Oaks (A/B/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Gonzales (W/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Greenfield (O/cc) | · · · | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of King (J/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Marina (U/V/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Monterey (G/H/I/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | |
| City of Pacific Grove (P/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Salinas (L/m/cc) | | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| City of Seaside (m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Soledad (F/cc) | | \$ | | \$ - | \$ · - | |
| Aromas-San Juan USD | | \$ | · - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| Salinas Valley Memorial Healthca | re System | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ - | |
| ALISAL USD (M) | | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| AROMAS TRI COUNTY FPD (S) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| COALINGA-HURON JOINT USD (R |) | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| HARTNELL COMMUNITY CD (T) | | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| MONTEREY PEN. REG. PARK DIST | (E) | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| SAN ARDO UNION ELEM SD (N) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT USD (D) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| SHANDON JOINT USD (K) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | ~ |
| TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR I | MC (X) | \$ | 152.38 | \$ 172.52 | \$ 317.21 | |
| SUBTOTAL | | \$ | 1,066.65 | \$ 1,207.63 | \$ 1,903.27 | , |
| | | | | | | |
| LOCAL PAGES COST | | | | | | |
| State | | \$ | 170.66 | \$ 155.82 | \$ 281.97 | |
| County (Y/Z) | | \$ | 810.65 | \$ 740.16 | \$ 1,339.34 | |
| City of Del Rey Oaks (A/B/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Gonzales (W/cc) | | \$ | | \$ - | \$ · - | |
| City of Greenfield (O/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of King (J/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ · – | \$ - | |
| City of Marina (U/V/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | |
| City of Monterey (G/H/I/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Pacific Grove (P/m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 1 |
| City of Salinas (L/m/cc) | | \$ | 1,066.65 | \$ 1,051.80 | \$ 1,762.28 | |
| City of Seaside (m/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| City of Soledad (F/cc) | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| Aromas-San Juan USD | | \$ | · _ · | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 0 | |
|--------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|------------|---|
| | 90 | | 69 | | 66 | | 69 | | 66 | | 55 | | 4920 | |
| | 5 | | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | 3 | | 289 | |
| | - | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | 2,411.55 | \$ | 1,897.70 | \$ | 5,546.56 | \$ | 1,106.99 | \$ | 506.42 | \$ | 107.59 | \$ | 49,195.37 | |
| | 2,411.55 | \$ | 1,897.70 | \$ | 5,546.56 | ې \$ | 1,106.99 | \$ | 506.42 506.42 | ې \$ | 107.59 | | | |
| \$ | 2,411.55 | ې د | 1,097.70 | ې د | 5,540.50 | | 1,100.99 | | 500.42 | | 107.59 | \$ | 49,195.37 | • |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | 구. 수 | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 322.78 | |
| Ş | - | Ş | - | Ş | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 783.57 | |
| Ş | - | Ş | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,113.02 | |
| Ş | - 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 939.35 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,760.29 | |
| \$ | | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,531.99 | |
| \$ | 2,411.55 | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,411.55 | |
| \$ | · _ | \$ | · _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 12,775.43 | |
| \$ | - " | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,450.37 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,518.16 | |
| Ś | _ | Ś | _ | ; | _ | \$ | - | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | 1,466.41 | |
| Ś | | Ś | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | 2,559.15 | |
| ç | _ | Ś | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | _ | \$ | 3,139.99 | |
| ć | _ | ¢ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 539.82 | |
| ې خ | - | ې د | - | | - | | - | ှ င | - | | - | | | |
| ې د | - | ې د | - | \$ | | \$ | - | ې د | - | \$ | - | \$ | 10.91 | |
| \$ | - | Ş | 1,897.70 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,106.99 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 26,942.55 | |
| \$ | 2,411.55 | Ş | - | \$ | 5,546.56 | \$ | - | \$ | 506.42 | \$ | - | \$ | 19,108.72 | |
| Ş | - | Ş | - | Ş | - | Ş | - | Ş | - | Ş | - | \$ | 62.33 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 12.06 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$· | | \$ | 33.81 | |
| \$ | 2,411.55 | \$ | 1,897.70 | \$ | 5,546.56 | \$ | 1,106.99 | \$ | 506.42 | \$ | 107.59 | \$ | 49,195.37 | X |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | 12,057.73 | \$ | 7 <i>,</i> 590.80 | \$ | 22,186.22 | \$ | 4,427.97 | \$ | 2,025.70 | \$ | 322.78 | \$ | 231,068.33 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | 2,782.55 | \$ | 1,469.19 | \$ | 5,119.90 | \$ | 857.03 | \$ | 467.47 | \$ | 66.78 | \$ | 41,157.96 | |
| \$ | 8,811.42 | \$ | 4,652.43 | \$ | 16,213.01 | \$. | 2,713.92 | Ş | 1,480.32 | \$ | 211.47 | \$ | 151,034.73 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | -1,002.10 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,7 10.02 | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | 1,613.88 | |
| ې خ | . – | \$ | - | \$ | | | | | . – | \$ | - | | 3,060.81 | |
| \$. | - | | - | ې خ | - | \$ \$ | - | \$ | - | | - | \$ | | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | 5,008.59 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,200.31 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 8,458.96 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 23,967.05 | |
| \$ | 11,130.21 | \$ | - | \$ \$ \$ | - | \$ \$ \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | 11,130.21 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | · - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 61,681.77 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | 2,300.24 | |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,840.19 | |
| \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | ; \$ | 437.79 | |
| ۲ | | 7 | | r | | r | | Ŧ | | Ŧ | | Ŧ | | |

| Permit Info | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|
| billable \$ 98,860.38 PI 236 Bulk Mail Everett WA. Majority of VIGs/ballots mailed from this permit by K&H | | DIMS | USPS |
| not billable \$ 4,264.13 PI 201 Bulk Mail Salinas. 8D2/VNC and supplemental small mailings | Precinct | 64,895 | 63,062 |
| \$ 5,258.90 PD 95013000 Postage due buy back. Undeliverable VIG/ballots or insufficient postage. | VBM | 120,891 | 122,123 |
| \$ 753.76 BR 5814526 VRC business reply. Reimbursed by SOS | Total Voters | 185,786 | 185,185 |
| \$ 9,947.87 BR 114001 Postage paid by sender business reply mail | | | |

\$ 114,067.15

| ACCOUNT | Permit | Mailing PO | DATE | Туре | Balance | AMOUNT | Balance | PIECES | User | Trays | COST PER | VIG COST | pieces | Ballot COST | pieces |
|-----------|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| 421650 P | 1236 | EVERETT WA | 11/2/2016 | 3600-R | 99635.49 | (1385.75) | 98249.74 | 575 TC |) | 15 | \$ 2.41 | | | \$ 1,385.75 | 575 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 11/2/2016 | 3600-R | 101780.39 | (2144.90) | 99635.49 | 890 TE |) | 25 | \$ 2.41 | | | \$ 2,144.90 | 890 |
| 421650 P | and a state of the second | EVERETT WA | 10/31/2016 | 3600-R | 103067.18 | (1286.79) | 101780.4 | 1699 TC |) | 22 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 1,286.79 | 1699 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 2 3 6 | EVERETT WA | 10/28/2016 | 3600-R | 103628.78 | (561.60) | 103067.2 | 722 TE |) | 10 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 561.60 | 722 | | |
| 421650 P | 1236 | EVERETT WA | 10/26/2016 | 3600-R | 104113.14 | (484.36) | 103628.8 | 623 TL |) | 8 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 484.36 | 623 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3602-N | 104398.25 | (285.11) | 104113.1 | 1931 H | ٢N | 24 | \$ 0.15 | | | \$ 285.11 | 1931 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3600-R | 105214.54 | (816.29) | 104398.3 | 1058 HT | ٢N | 16 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 816.29 | 1058 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3602-N | 105288.44 | (73.90) | 105214.5 | 435 HT | ΓN | 7 | \$ 0.17 | | | \$ 73.90 | 435 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3602-N | 105380.88 | (92.44) | 105288.4 | 565 HT | ΓŃ | 7 | \$ 0.16 | | | \$ 92.44 | 565 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3600-R | 106390.67 | (1009.79) | 105380.9 | 419 TC |) | 14 | \$ 2.41 | | | \$ 1,009.79 | 419 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3600-R | 107116.08 | (725.41) | 106390.7 | 301 TC |) | 12 | \$ 2.41 | | | \$ 725.41 | 301 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3600-R | 108639.12 | (1523.04) | 107116.1 | 501 TE |) | 20 | \$ 3.04 | | | \$ 1,523.04 | 501 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/24/2016 | 3600-R | 112303.97 | (3664.85) | 108639.1 | 1295 TE |) | 50 | \$ 2.83 | | | \$ 3,664.85 | 1295 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 112697.06 | (393.09) | 112304 | 736 TE |) | 3 | \$ 0.53 | | | \$ 393.09 | 736 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 112855.52 | (158.46) | 112697.1 | 326 TC |) | 5 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 158.46 | 326 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 113110.43 | (254.91) | 112855.5 | 583 TC |) | 2 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 254.91 | 583 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 113310.73 | (200.30) | 113110.4 | 458 TC |) | 9 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 200.30 | 458 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 113551.38 | (240.65) | 113310.7 | 494 TC |) | 8 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 240.65 | 494 | | |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 113794.13 | (242.75) | 113551.4 | 583 TC |) | 2 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 242.75 | 583 | | • |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/21/2016 | 3602-N | 113964.21 | (170.08) | 113794.1 | 333 TD |) | 5 | \$ 0.51 | | | \$ 170.08 | 333 |
| 421650 P | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/17/2016 | 3602-N | 115195.09 | (1230.88) | 113964.2 | 3281 TD |) | 6 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 1,230.88 | 3281 | | |
| 421650 P | 1236 | EVERETT WA | 10/13/2016 | 3602-N | 116644.5 | (1449.41) | 115195.1 | 2899 HT | 'N | 4 | \$ 0.50 | | | \$ 1,449.41 | 2899 |
| 421650 PI | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 119930.57 | (3286.07) | 116644.5 | 9157 HT | "N | 8 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 3,286.07 | 9157 | | |
| 421650 PI | 1 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 120151.66 | (221.09) | 119930.6 | 590 HT | 'N | 3 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 221.09 | 590 | | |
| 421650 PI | 1 2 3 6 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 120560.28 | (408.62) | 120151.7 | 1083 HT | 'N | 3 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 408.62 | 1083 | | |
| 421650 PI | 1236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 120625.63 | (65.35) | 120560.3 | 208 HT | N. | 4 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 65.35 | 208 | | |
| 421650 PI | 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 120896.48 | (270.85) | 120625.6 | 718 HT | 'N | 3 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 270.85 | 718 | - | |
| 421650 PI | 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 121447.96 | (551.48) | 120896.5 | 1412 HT | 'N | 4 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 551.48 | 1412 | | |
| 421650 PI | 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 121869.19 | (421.23) | 121448 | 1074 HT | 'N | 3 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 421.23 | 1074 | | |
| 421650 PI | 236 | EVERETT WA | 10/12/2016 | 3602-N | 122500.23 | (631.04) | 121869.2 | 1606 HT | 'N | 3 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 631.04 | 1606 | | |
| 421650 PI | 236 | EVERETT WA | 9/23/2016 | 3602-N | 97110.12 | (5783.51) | 91326.61 | 60879 HT | 'N | 646 | \$ 0.10 | | | \$ 5,783.51 | 60879 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ¢ 66 862 75 | 10E 10E | \$ 21 006 62 | 177 172 |

\$ 66,863.75 185,185 \$ 31,996.63 122,123



MONTEREY COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT 1370 B SOUTH MAIN ST SALINAS, CA 93901 Phone: (831) 796-1499 Fax: (831) 755-5485

INVOICE TO:

Monterey Salinas Transit District One Ryan Ranch Road Monterey, CA 93940 Invoice Number: 141116 Invoice Date: 5/4/2015 Payment Due: 6/8/2015

| Description of Charges for November 4, 2014 General Election | Amount |
|---|------------------|
| | |
| Setup Fee | \$ 150.00 |
| County Employees | \$ 43,421.57 |
| Temporary Staff | \$ 71,356.18 |
| Transport | \$ 5,914.86 |
| Legal | \$ 3,262.41 |
| Office | \$ 24,868.95 |
| Ballot Printing and Mailing Common | \$ 84,869.15 |
| Voter Information Guide | \$ 71,765.52 |
| Voting Equipment Rental / Maintenance | \$ 30,487.39 |
| Indirect Cost | \$ 1,958.31 |
| SB 90 Credit (The County will claim this amount from the State) | \$ |
| TOTAL AMOUNT DUE | \$ 338,054.34 |
| Registered Voters | 165,731 |
| Cost Per Registered Voter | \$ 2.04 |

Please make remittance payable to MONTEREY COUNTY. Please attach one copy of this invoice with payment.

Jurisdiction Copy

Nov 4, 2014 Election Cost Summary

| Common Election | \$ | 1,238,309.45 |
|--|-------|--------------|
| Setup Fee | Ś | 3,450.00 |
| Effective Common Election (less Setup Pee) | \$ | 1,234,859.45 |
| Legal Notices Local | \$ | • |
| Voter Information Pamphlet | \$ | 436,846.00 |
| Voting Equipment | ŝ | 141.454.86 |
| Indirect Cost | \$ \$ | 10,202.32 |
| Total Election Cost | \$ | 1,826,812.62 |
| Revenue | | |
| Billable to Jurisdictions | \$ | 1,211,384.09 |
| SB 90 AB Amount to be Claimed by County to State | \$ | • |
| Total | S | 1,211,384.09 |
| General Fund Contribution | \$ | 615,428.53 |

| | | | | | | | | | Voting | | | Jurisdiction | |
|--------|------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | Pro Rata | | | | | Equipment | | Total Before | Total, | Per |
| | | | | Cost | | Common | Legal Notices | | Rental / | | SB 90 AB | Rounded to | Registered |
| | Districts, Nonbillable | | Reg Voters | Factor | Setup Fee | Election | Local | Sample Ballot | Maintenance | Indirect | Credit | \$.00 | Voter |
| COUNTY | | | 165,731 | 0,1919478 | \$ 150.00 | \$ 237,028.56 | | \$ 35,820.56 | \$ 27,151.95 | \$ 1,958.31 | \$ 302,109.39 | \$ 302,109.39 | \$ 1.82 |
| STATE | | | 165,731 | 0.1919478 | \$ 150.00 | \$ 237,028.56 | | \$ 46,430.31 | \$ 27,151.95 | \$ 1,958.31 | \$ 312,719.14 | \$ 312,719.14 | \$ 1.89 |
| | | Subtotal | 331,462 | 0.383896 | \$ 300.00 | \$ 474,057.13 | | \$ 82,250.88 | \$ 54,303.90 | \$ 3,916.63 | \$ 614,828.53 | \$ 614,828,53 | \$ 1.85 |

| | | Pro Rata Cost | | | | Common | Legal Notices | | | E | Voting quipment Rental / | | | .1 | Fotal Before SB 90 AB | | lurisdiction Total, Rounded to | Re | Per |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-----|-----------|-----|-------------|---------------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Districts, Billable | Reg Voters Factor | | | Setup Fee | | Election | Local | Sa | mple Ballot | Maintenance | | Indirect | | Credit | | \$.00 | | Voter | |
| City of Del Rey Oaks | 1,090 | | - T | 150.00 | \$ | 1,558.92 | | \$ | 1,635.61 | | 178.58 | \$ | 12.88 | \$ | 3,535.99 | \$ | 3,535.99 | \$ | 3.24 |
| City of Gonzales | 2,730 | 0.0031619 | * | 150.00 | | 3,904.45 | | \$ | 3,592.59 | \$ | 447.26 | \$ | 32.26 | \$ | 8,126.56 | \$ | 8,126.56 | \$ | 2.98 |
| City of Greenfield | 4,092 | 0.0047393 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 5,852.38 | | \$ | 790.66 | \$ | 670.40 | \$ | 48.35 | \$ | 7,511.79 | \$ | 7,511.79 | \$ | 1.84 |
| City of King | 2,741 | 0.0031746 | S | 150.00 | \$ | 3,920.18 | | \$ | 2,790:07 | \$ | 449.05 | \$ | 32.39 | \$ | 7,341.70 | \$ | 7,341.70 | \$ | 2.68 |
| City of Marina | 8,886 | 0.0102917 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 12,708.76 | | \$ | 7,141.53 | \$. | 1,455.81 | \$ | 105.00 | \$ | 21,561.10 | \$ | 21,561.10 | \$ | 2.43 |
| City of Monterey | 13,257 | 0.0153541 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 18,960.17 | | \$ | 14,251.96 | \$ | 2,171.91 | \$ | 156,65 | Ş | 35,690.69 | \$ | 35,690.69 | \$ | 2.69 |
| City of Pacific Grove | 9,213 | 0.0106704 | \$ | 150:00 | \$ | 13,176.44 | | \$ | 2,110.41 | \$ | 1,509.38 | Ś | 108,86 | Ş | 17,055.09 | \$ | 17,055.09 | \$ | 1.85 |
| City of Salinas | 51,430 | 0.0595657 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 73,555.21 | | \$ | 142,135.31 | \$ | 8,425.85 | \$ | 607.71 | \$ | 224,874.08 | \$ | 224,874.08 | \$ | 4.37 |
| City of Sand City | 148 | 0.0001714 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 211.67 | | \$ | 943.19 | \$ | 24.25 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1,330.86 | \$ | 1,330.86 | \$ | 8,99 |
| City of Seaside | 11,298 | 0.0130852 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 16,158.41 | | \$ | 2,411.50 | \$ | 1,850.97 | \$ | 133.50 | \$ | 20,704.37 | \$ | 20,704:37 | \$ | 1.83 |
| City of Soledad | 5,036 | 0.0058326 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 7,202,49 | | \$ | 3,350.82 | \$ | 825.06 | \$ | 59,51 | \$ | 11,587.87 | \$ | 11,587.87 | \$ | 2.30 |
| Greenfield Union School District (C/D) | 4,451 | 0.0051551 | | | \$ | 6,365.82 | | \$ | 5,409,41 | \$ | 729.21 | \$ | 52.59 | \$ | 12,557.04 | \$ | 12,557.04 | \$ | 2.82 |
| Marina Coast Water District | 8,058 | 0.0093327 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 11,524.56 | | \$ | 1,432.84 | \$ | 1,320.15 | \$ | 95.22 | \$ | 14,522.77 | \$ | 14,522.77 | Ś | 1.80 |
| Monterey Peninsula Airport District | 44,691 | 0.0517606 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 63,917.09 | | Ś | 9.005.05 | Ś | 7.321.79 | ŝ | 528.08 | Ś | 80,922.01 | Ś | 80,922.01 | Ś | 1.81 |
| Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District 5 | 17,834 | 0.0206551 | Š | 150.00 | \$ | 25,506,20 | | Ś | 3,806,79 | Ś | 2,921.77 | Ś | 210.73 | Ś | 32,595,48 | Ś | 32,595.48 | Ś | 1.83 |
| Monterey Salinas Transit District (Q) | 165,731 | 0.1919478 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 237,028.56 | | Ś | 71,765.52 | ŝ | 27,151.95 | \$ | 1,958.31 | ŝ | 338,054,35 | \$ | 338,054.35 | | 2.04 |
| Moss Landing Harbor District | 89,638 | 0.1038177 | \$ | 150,00 | \$ | 128,200.31 | | \$ | 17,919.83 | \$ | 14,685.52 | ŝ | 1,059,18 | ŝ | 162,014.85 | \$ | 162,014.85 | Ś | 1,81 |
| Pacific Grove Unified School District (A) | 11,019 | 0.0127621 | | | \$ | 15,759.38 | | \$ | 8,625.25 | \$ | 1,805.26 | \$ | 130.20 | Ś | 26,321.10 | \$ | 26,321.10 | Ś | 2.39 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | 107 | 0.0001239 | | | \$ | 153.03 | | \$ | 31.98 | \$. | 17.53 | \$ | 1.26 | Ś | 203.81 | \$ | 203.81 | Ś | 1,90 |
| Salinas Union High School District (8) | 64,154 | 0.0743025 | | | \$ | 91,753.08 | | \$ | 50,236,45 | \$ | 10,510.44 | \$ | 758.06 | \$ | 153,258.03 | ŝ | 153,258.03 | Ś | 2.39 |
| Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System 5 | 15,834 | 0.0183388 | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 22,645.80 | | \$ | 3,497.06 | \$ | 2,594.11 | Ś | 187.10 | \$ | 29,074.06 | ŝ | 29,074.06 | ŝ | 1.84 |
| San Luis Obispo Community College District (L) | 391 | 0.0004529 | | | \$ | 559.21 | | \$ | 1,180.66 | ŝ | 64.06 | 5 | 4.62 | ŝ | 1,808.55 | ŝ | 1,808.55 | | 4.63 |
| San Miguel Joint Union School District | 23 | 2.664E-05 | | | \$ | 32.89 | | \$ | | \$ | 3.77 | Ś | 0.27 | ŝ | 36.93 | ŝ | 36,93 | \$ | 1.61 |
| Shandon Joint Unified School District | 91 | 0.0001054 | | | \$ | 130.15 | | \$ | | Ś | 14.91 | \$ | 1.08 | Ś | 146.13 | ŝ | 146.13 | 5 | 1.61 |
| West Hills Community College District (T) | 12 | 1.39E-05 | | | Ś | 17.15 | | Ś | 529.62 | Ś | 1.97 | \$ | 0.14 | ŝ | 548.89 | \$ | 548.89 | Ś | 45.74 |
| Subtotal | 531,955 | 0.616104 | Ş . | 2,550.00 | \$ | 760,802.32 | • | s : | 354,595.12 | \$ | 87,150.96 | \$ | 6,285.69 | \$1 | ,211,384.09 | \$1 | ,211,384.09 | \$ | 2.28 |
| Total | 863,417 | 1.000000 | \$ | 2,850.00 | \$1 | ,234,859,45 | | 5 4 | 136,846,00 | \$ 1 | 41,454.86 | \$ 1 | 0,202.32 | \$1 | ,826,212.62 | \$1 | 826,212.62 | \$ | 2.12 |

Page 33 of 61

Agenda Item 4.



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| To: | Executive Committee |
|---------------|--|
| From: | Christina Watson, Principal Transportation Planner |
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| Subject: | State Legislative Analyst Contract |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECOMMEND the Board:

- 1. Authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract with Khouri Consulting, in an amount not to exceed \$30,000 per year, to provide state legislative analyst/advocate services, for two years, with the option to extend another year at the same cost, subject to Agency Counsel approval;
- 2. Approve the use of local funds budgeted to this purpose; and
- 3. Authorize the Executive Director to make administrative changes to the contract if such changes do not increase the Agency's net cost, subject to approval by Agency counsel.

SUMMARY:

TAMC released a Request for Proposals for state legislative analyst/advocate services in March 2017. Three proposals were submitted. Staff recommends selection of Khouri Consulting due to their impressive legislative expertise in transportation issues.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The Agency budget contains an allowance of \$30,000 in local funds for services of state legislative assistance in fiscal year 2017/18. Staff proposes to set up a contract for two years and the option for a one-year renewal for a total cost of \$60,000-\$90,000. Utilizing state legislative assistance may result in the protection or allocation of several millions of dollars in additional transportation funds being devoted to transportation projects in the region. Funding for this type of assistance will come from local revenue sources and not any state or federal funds.

DISCUSSION:

On March 22, 2017, the TAMC Board of Directors authorized staff to release a Request for Proposals (RFP) for State Legislative Analyst/ Advocate services, for a not-to-exceed amount of \$30,000 per year, for two years and the option for a one-year renewal.

On March 23, 2017, staff published the RFP and sent the solicitation to a list of firms who work in this field. TAMC received three submittals by the due date of April 27, 2017:

- JEA & Associates
- Khouri Consulting
- Nossaman LLP

A review panel was formed with the following members:

- Annette D'Adamo, County of Monterey Intergovernmental Affairs
- Michelle Overmeyer, MST Grants Analyst
- Debbie Hale, TAMC Executive Director
- Christina Watson, TAMC Principal Transportation Planner

The review panel read and scored the written submittals according to selection criteria listed in the RFP, for 20 points apiece:

- 1. **Responsiveness**: Demonstrates a history of providing timely responses to requests for information in a manner appropriate to the urgency of the request.
- 2. **Proactiveness**: Suggests bills and transportation-related developments or proposals to watch, hearings to attend, and/or grants to pursue. Shows ability to draft and promote Agency-sponsored bills and suggest a bill author.
- 3. **Positive Relationships**: Gets positive feedback/references from elected representatives, administration representatives, and California Transportation Commissioners. For example, receives positive comments from legislators, and shows success in setting up meetings in a timely manner and, as applicable, engaging with sponsors and author(s) of potential Agency bills.
- 4. **Quality of Work**: Produces written work of a high quality and with sufficient detail that would not require Legislative and/or Agency staff to rewrite or redo.
- 5. **Project Understanding**: Exhibits an understanding of the Agency's priority projects and ability to promote those priority projects. Exhibits a depth of knowledge of transportation funding and policy issues.

The review panel met on May 4, 2017, to discuss the proposals and references and unanimously agreed to recommend hiring Khouri Consulting. Khouri Consulting impressed the review panel with their demonstrated transportation expertise and contacts in the administration and legislature. The project manager for this work would be Gus Khouri, who has close relationships with many of the key transportation players in Sacramento. Mr. Khouri has a demonstrated ability to draft and advocate for legislation, including a bill that he worked on for TAMC that enabled the Agency to pursue self-help status. Khouri Consulting had all positive reviews from the references contacted, who praised the firm's expertise in state transportation legislative analysis, contacts with the legislature and the state, writing ability, and responsiveness. Khouri Consulting is a small boutique firm that allows for a close relationship with the proprietor, and an ability to provide access and enable the Agency to form or strengthen relationships in Sacramento. Mr. Khouri is prepared to make personal appearances at Executive Committee and Board meetings upon request and within the budgeted amount.

Agenda Item 5.



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| Subject: | State Legislative Update |
|---------------|--|
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| From: | Christina Watson, Principal Transportation Planner |
| То: | Executive Committee |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE update on state legislative activities and **RECOMMEND** Board adopt positions on bills.

SUMMARY:

Staff will present a state legislative update and new bills for the Committee to consider.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Several of the bills on this list could have positive or negative financial impacts to the Transportation Agency.

DISCUSSION:

The Governor announced his "May Revise" budget on May 11, 2017. **Web Attachment 1** is the transportation summary of the revised budget proposal. The major adjustments for the transportation budget reflect the new funding, programs and oversight requirements created by Senate Bill 1.

Many bills related to cap and trade are going through the legislature. **Web Attachment 2** is an article from the LA Times that gives a good overview of the proposals.

Attachment 1 is the updated list of transportation legislation as of May 25. Changes to the list since the May 24 Board meeting are indicated with underline and cross-out.

New recommended position:

• Support SB 5 (De León): California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access For All Act of 2018. This bill was amended on May 10 to include funding for wildlife corridors, such as the Agency is investigating with the State Route 68 corridor study.

Staff recommends support.

Of particular interest, the two bills TAMC is sponsoring this year are moving through the legislature:

- AB 696 (Caballero): Department of Transportation: Prunedale Bypass: County of Monterey: disposition of excess properties: passed the Assembly Transportation Committee on March 20 and passed on the suspense file for the Assembly Appropriations committee on May 26.
- SB 477 (Cannella): Intercity rail corridors: extensions: amended May 10 to add Assembly Members Caballero and Stone as co-authors; passed Senate Transportation and Housing Committee on April 25; and passed on the suspense file of the Senate Appropriations committee on May 25. The State Transportation Agency has suggested some amendments, likely to be amended into the bill at the next hearing, that require the service extensions be consistent with the State Rail Plan.

Web Attachment 3 is the Agency's adopted 2017 legislative program.

ATTACHMENTS:

Draft bill list as of May 25

WEB ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Governor Brown's May Revise budget: transportation summary
- 2. <u>May 11, 2017 article in the LA Times, "Here's how California could change its cap-and-trade</u> program for climate change"
- 3. FINAL TAMC 2017 Legislative Program

TAMC Bill List May 25, 2017

Assembly bills

AB 1 (Frazier) Transportation funding

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Status: 1/19/2017-Referred to Committees on Transportation and Natural Resources Summary: Creates the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program to address deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. Priority: 1S Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 12/16/16

AB 17 (Holden) Transit Pass Program: free or reduced-fare transit passes

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Status: 5/3/2017-Referred to Committee on Appropriations suspense file Summary: Creates a Transit Pass Program to be administered by Caltrans. Requires Controller to allocate moneys made available for the program, upon appropriation by Legislature, to support a transit pass programs that provides free or reduced-fare transit passes to specified pupils and students. Priority: 15S Position: Watch

AB 18 (Garcia, Eduardo) California Clean Water, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Last Amended: 2/23/2017 Status: 3/20/2017- In Senate. Read first time. To Committee on Rules for assignment Summary: Enacts California Clean Water, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018, which, if approved by voters, authorizes bonds in an amount of \$3.105 billion to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program. Priority: 5S Position: Watch

AB 28 (Frazier) Department of Transportation: environmental review process: federal pilot program

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Last Amended: 3/2/2017 Status: 3/29/2017 - Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Summary: Re-enacts, until January 1, 2020, Caltrans' authority to waive its 11th Amendment right to sovereign immunity from lawsuits brought in federal court thereby allowing Caltrans to continue assuming the role of the U.S. DOT for NEPA decision making. Priority: 6S/2F Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 12/16/16

AB 65 (Patterson) Transportation bond debt service

Introduced: 12/13/2016

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Current law provides for transfer of certain vehicle weight fee revenues to the Transportation Debt Service Fund to reimburse the General Fund for payment of current year debt service on general obligation bonds issued for transportation purposes, including bonds issued for high-speed rail and associated purposes pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century (Proposition 1A of 2008). This bill would specifically exclude from payment under these provisions the debt service for Proposition 1A bonds. **Priority**: 1S

Position: Watch

AB 151 (Burke) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: market-based compliance mechanisms: scoping plan: report

Introduced: 1/11/2017

Last Amended: 5/2/2017

Status: 5/17/2017 - Referred to Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would require the ARB to report to the Legislature to receive input, guidance, and assistance before adopting guidelines and regulations implementing the scoping plan and a regulation ensuring statewide greenhouse gas emissions are reduced to at least 40% below the 1990 level by 2030. Priority: N/A Desiries with the

Position: Watch

AB 278 (Steinorth) California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: existing transportation infrastructure

Introduced: 2/2/17

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would exempt from CEQA a project, or the issuance of a permit for a project, that consists of the inspection, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, replacement, or removal of, or the addition of an auxiliary lane or bikeway to, existing transportation infrastructure. **Priority**: 6S

Position: Watch

AB 351 (Melendez) Transportation funding

Introduced: 2/8/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: This bill would retain the weight fee revenues in the State Highway Account and would delete the requirement to transfer these revenues to the Transportation Debt Service Fund, thereby providing for these revenues to be used for any transportation purpose authorized by statute, upon appropriation by the Legislature.

Priority: 1S

Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 3/27/17

AB 378 (Garcia, Cristina) Greenhouse gases, criteria air pollutants, and toxic air

<u>contaminants</u>

Introduced: 2/9/2017 Last Amended: 4/18/2017

Status: 5/3/2017-Referred to Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would require the ARB to consider and account for the social costs of the emissions and greenhouse gases when adopting rules and regulations to achieve greenhouse gas emissions reductions. Would prohibit the ARB from permitting a facility to increase its annual emissions of greenhouse gases compared to the reported annual average of emissions of greenhouse gases. Would authorize the ARB to adopt no-trade zones or facility-specific declining greenhouse gas emissions limits where facilities' emissions contribute to a cumulative pollution burden that creates a significant health impact. Would require the ARB to adopt air pollutant emissions standards for emissions of criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants at industrial facilities that are subject to a market-based compliance mechanism. Would prohibit the ARB from allocating allowances to industrial facilities that do not meet the standards for criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants. Priority: N/A

Position: Watch

AB 496 (Fong) Transportation funding

Introduced: 2/13/2017

Last Amended: 2/28/2017

Status: 3/1/2017- Referred to Committee on Transportation

Summary: Would create the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Program to address traffic congestion and deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. Would provide for the deposit of various existing sources of revenue in the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Account, which the bill would create in the State Transportation Fund, including revenues attributable to the sales and use tax on motor vehicles, revenues attributable to automobile and motor vehicle insurance policies from the insurer gross premiums tax, revenues from certain diesel fuel sales and use taxes, revenues from certain vehicle registration fees, and certain miscellaneous State Highway Account revenues.

Priority: 1S

Position: Watch

AB 577 (Caballero) Disadvantaged communities

Introduced: 2/14/2017

Last Amended: 3/9/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Existing law defines a disadvantaged community as a community with an annual median household income that is less than 80% of the statewide annual median household income for various purposes, that include, but are not limited to, the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014, eligibility for certain entities to apply for funds from the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, and authorization for a community revitalization and investment authority to carry out a community revitalization plan. This bill would expand the definition of a disadvantaged community as to include a community with an annual per capita income that is less than 80% of the statewide annual per capita income.

Priority: 9S

Position: Watch

AB 694 (Ting) Bicycles

Introduced: 2/15/17

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would require a person operating a bicycle to ride in the right-hand lane or bicycle lane, if one is present, and would additionally require a person operating a bicycle in a lane that is wide enough for a vehicle and bicycle to travel safely side by side within the lane to ride far enough to the right in order to allow vehicles to pass, except when it is reasonably necessary to avoid conditions that make it hazardous to continue along the right-hand edge of the lane, and when approaching a place where a right turn is authorized. This bill clarifies that bicyclists may take a lane under certain circumstances. "Taking the lane" is already legal, but there have been incidents when bicyclists were inappropriately cited by law enforcement personnel unfamiliar with the California Vehicle code in re: bicyclists. **Priority**: 5S

Position: SUPPORT

Action Taken: Letter sent 5/2/17

AB 696 (Caballero) Department of Transportation: Prunedale Bypass: County of Monterey: disposition of excess properties

Introduced: 2/15/2017

Status: 4/5/2017-In Committee on Appropriations – referred to suspense file, set for hearing 5/26. **Summary**: Would require the net proceeds from the sale of any excess properties originally acquired for a replacement alignment for State Highway Route 101 in the County of Monterey, known as the former Prunedale Bypass, to be reserved in the State Highway Account for programming and allocation by the CTC, with the concurrence of TAMC, for other state highway projects in the State Highway Route 101 corridor in that county. Would exempt these funds from the distribution formulas otherwise applicable to transportation capital improvement funds.

Priority: 3S

Position: SUPPORT (SPONSOR)

Action Taken: Letter sent 3/13/17, Testified at 3/20 hearing

AB 778 (Caballero) Insurance: community development investments

Introduced: 2/15/2017

Status: 5/17/2017-Referred to Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would define a community development investment as certain projects, developments, or activities that, among other things, benefit low- to moderate-income individuals or families. Would include investments in reservation-based communities and investments in rural areas in community development investments. Would define community development infrastructure as all California debt where all or a portion of the debt has as its primary purpose community development for, or that directly benefits, low- to moderate-income communities.

Priority: 9S

Position: Watch

AB 863 (Cervantes) Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program Introduced: 2/16/2017

Status: 5/18/2017-To Committee on Rules for assignment.

Summary: Current law continuously appropriates specified portions of the annual proceeds in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to various programs, including 20% for the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program administered by the Strategic Growth Council. This bill would require the Strategic Growth Council, in selecting projects for funding under the program, to seek

methods for inclusion of local entrepreneurs in the implementation of the projects and workforce training and certification of workers hired to work on the projects. **Priority:** 9S **Position:** Watch

AB 1063 (Fong) Transportation funds

Introduced: 2/16/2017 Status: 5/12/17- Failed Deadline. Summary: Current law requires funds in the State Highway Account to be programmed, budgeted, and expended to maximize the use of federal funds and according to a specified sequence of priorities. Current law requires Caltrans to provide certain information to the Legislature to substantiate Caltrans' proposed capital outlay support budget. Spot bill. Priority: 1S Position: Watch

AB 1103 (Obernolte) Bicycles: yielding

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 4/6/17

Status: 5/12/17- Failed Deadline.

Summary: Would authorize a person operating a bicycle approaching a stop sign, after slowing to a reasonable speed and yielding the right-of-way, to cautiously make a turn or proceed through the intersection without stopping, unless safety considerations require otherwise. This is the so-called "Idaho stop" law, which would allow bicyclists, when safe, to not come to a complete stop at stop signs. In the 35 years this has been law in Idaho, crash rates have gone down, primarily because bicyclists spend less time navigating intersections.

Priority: 58 Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 5/2/17

AB 1113 (Bloom) State Transit Assistance program

Introduced: 2/17/2017 **Last Amended:** 3/28/17

Status: 5/18/2017-Referred to Senate Committee on Transportation and Housing. **Summary:** Would revise and recast the provisions governing the State Transit Assistance (STA) program. Would provide that only STA-eligible operators are eligible to receive an allocation from the portion of program funds based on transit operator revenues. Would provide for each STA-eligible operator within the jurisdiction of the allocating local transportation agency to receive a proportional share of the revenue-based program funds based on the qualifying revenues of that operator. **Priority:** 2S

Position: SUPPORT

AB 1180 (Holden) California tire fee: Stormwater Permit Compliance Fund

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 4/19/2017

Status: 5/10/2017 - Referred to Committee on Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would increase the California tire fee by \$1.50 and deposit the additional moneys in the Stormwater Permit Compliance Fund, which would be established by the bill, and would make the moneys available to the State Water Resources Control Board Division of Financial Assistance. Would continuously appropriate moneys in the fund for competitive grants for projects and programs for

municipal storm sewer system permit compliance requirements that would prevent or remediate zinc pollutants caused by tires in the state and for an annual audit of the fund. **Priority:** 11S **Position: Watch**

AB 1218 (Obernolte) California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: bicycle

transportation plans Introduced: 2/17/2017 Last Amended: 4/18/2017 Status: 5/10/2017-Referred to Committee on Environmental Quality. Summary: CEQA, until January 1, 2018, exempts from its requirements bicycle transportation plans. CEQA, until January 1, 2018, also exempts from its requirements projects consisting of restriping of streets and highways for bicycle lanes in an urbanized area that are consistent with a bicycle transportation plan under certain conditions. This bill would extend those 2 exemptions until January 1, 2021. Priority: 6S

Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 3/27/17

AB 1223 (Caballero) Construction contract payments: Internet Web site posting

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 5/3/2017

Status: 5/18/17- Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Summary: Current law imposes specified requirements on state agencies regarding payment of construction contracts. This bill would require, within 21 days of making a construction contract payment, a state agency to post to its Internet Web site the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made, the payment application number or other identifying information, and the amount of the payment. The bill would exempt construction contracts valued below \$25,000 from these provisions. **Priority:** N/A

Position: Concern – undue burden on local agencies; issue already addressed with existing laws **Action taken:** Met with author to discuss concerns; Author amended bill to limit it to state agencies (not local agencies), which removes TAMC's concerns.

AB 1233 (Cunningham) Transportation Inspector General

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would create the Office of the Transportation Inspector General, as an independent office, to ensure that Caltrans and the High-Speed Rail Authority are operating efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with federal and state laws.

Priority: N/A **Position:** Watch

AB 1250 (Jones-Sawyer) Counties and cities: contracts for personal services

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 4/25/2017

Status: 5/10/17- Referred to Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would allow a county or city agency to contract for personal services currently or customarily performed by county employees, would require the county or city to demonstrate that the

proposed contract will result in overall costs savings and also to show that the contract does not cause the displacement of county or city workers. Would require that the county or city conduct a cost-benefit analysis prior to entering into the contract and would require the prospective contractors to reimburse the cost of the analysis. Would require the county or city to conduct an audit of the contract to determine whether cost savings have been realized and would require the contractor to reimburse the cost of the audit. Would impose additional disclosure requirements for contracts exceeding \$5,000,000 annually, and would require each county or city to maintain on its website a searchable database of all of its contracts exceeding \$5,000,000.

Priority: N/A **Position: OPPOSE**

AB 1282 (Mullin) Transportation Permitting Taskforce

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 4/4/2017

Status: 4/26/2017- Referred to Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Would require, by April 1, 2018, the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency, to establish a Transportation Permitting Taskforce consisting of representatives from specified state entities to develop a process for early engagement for all parties in the development of transportation projects, establish reasonable deadlines for permit approvals, and provide for greater certainty of permit approval requirements. **Priority:** 6S

Position: Watch

AB 1363 (Baker) Transportation revenues

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Current law requires certain revenues in the State Highway Account to be transferred to the Transportation Debt Service Fund, and requires the Controller to transfer from the fund to the General Fund to offset the current year debt service on general obligation transportation bonds issued pursuant to Proposition 116 of 1990. This bill would, on July 1, 2018, delete the transfer of these revenues to the Transportation Debt Service Fund, thereby eliminating the offsetting transfer to the General Fund for debt service Proposition 116 of 1990.

Priority: 1S

Position: Watch

AB 1395 (Chu) State highways: uniform financial plan

Introduced: 2/17/17 Last Amended: 3/30/2017 Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline Summary: Would require Caltrans, on or before January 1, 2019, to develop a uniform financial plan to remediate debris to maintain and preserve the state highway and freeway systems. Priority: N/A Position: Watch

AB 1421 (Dababneh) Railroads: noise and vibration levels

Introduced: 2/17/2017 Last Amended: 3/22/2017 Status: 5/3/2017-Referred to Appropriations suspense file. Summary: Current law creates the State Department of Public Health with various powers and duties. This bill would require the department to conduct a study to determine the noise and vibration levels associated with all railroad lines in the vicinity of residential areas or schools. Priority: N/A Position: Watch

AB 1436 (Levine) County highways

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 3/28/2017

Status: 5/22/2017- To Committee on Rules

Summary: Current law authorizes the board of supervisors of a county, by resolution adopted by a 4/5 vote of its members, to spend county resources on streets. This bill would instead authorize the board of supervisors of a county to adopt this resolution by a 3/5 vote of its members. **Priority:** N/A

Position: Watch

AB 1441 (Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials) Hazardous waste: transportation: electronic manifests

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 5/10/2017- Referred to Committee on Environmental Quality.

Summary: Current law, which is part of the hazardous waste control law, imposes various manifest requirements for transporting hazardous waste. This bill would authorize specified manifest requirements, including requirements to give, provide, send, forward, or return to another person a copy of a manifest, to sign a manifest or manifest certification by hand, or to keep or retain a copy of a manifest, to be satisfied through the use of the US EPA electronic manifest (e-Manifest) system. **Priority:** 12S

Position: Watch

AB 1523 (Obernolte) San Bernardino County Transportation Authority: design-build

Introduced: 2/17/2017 Last Amended: 5/1/2017 Status: 5/2/2017- Re-referred to Committee on Appropriations. Summary: Would authorize the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority to use the design-build contracting process for local agencies to award a contract for the construction of the Mt. Vernon Avenue Viaduct project. Priority: 1S Position: Watch Action Taken: Support letter sent 5/2/17 (for previous version of bill)

AB 1630 (Bloom) Transportation: wildlife movement and barriers to passage

Introduced: 2/17/2017 Last Amended: 4/17/2017 Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline Summary: Would authorize the Department of Fish and Wildlife or Caltrans to pursue a programmatic environmental review process for wildlife connectivity-related transportation infrastructure. Priority: 10S Position: Watch

AB 1640 (Garcia, Eduardo) Transportation funding: low-income communities

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would require, beginning January 1, 2020, each regional transportation improvement program to allocate a minimum of 25% of available funds to projects or programs that provide direct, meaningful, and assured benefits to low-income individuals who live in certain identified communities or to riders of transit service that connects low-income residents to critical amenities and services. **Priority:** 98

Position: Watch

ACA 4 (Aguiar-Curry) Local government financing: affordable housing and public infrastructure: voter approval

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 4/24/2017-Referred to Committees on Local Government and Appropriations **Summary:** Would authorize a local government to impose, extend, or increase a special tax for the purposes of funding public infrastructure or affordable housing, if the proposition is approved by 55% of its voters and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.

Priority: N/A Position: SUPPORT

ACA 5 (Frazier) Motor vehicle fees and taxes: restriction on expenditures: appropriations limit

Introduced: 2/17/2017 **Last Amended:** 4/4/2017

Status: 4/6/2017-Chaptered by Secretary of State- Chapter 30, Statues of 2017

Summary: Would require revenues derived from vehicle fees imposed under the Vehicle License Fee Law to be used solely for transportation purposes. Would prohibit these revenues from being used for the payment of principal and interest on state transportation general obligation bonds that were authorized by the voters on or before November 8, 2016. Would prohibit the revenues from being used for the payment of principal and interest on state transportation general obligation bonds that were authorized by the voters on or before November 8, 2016. Would prohibit the revenues from being used for the payment of principal and interest on state transportation general obligation bonds issued after that date unless the bond act submitted to the voters expressly authorizes that use. **Priority:** 1S

Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 4/5/17

Senate Bills

SB1 (Beall) Transportation funding

Introduced: 12/5/2016

Last Amended: 4/3/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State.

Summary: Would create the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program to address deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. Would require the CTC to adopt performance criteria, consistent with a specified asset management plan, to ensure efficient use of certain funds available for the program.

Priority: 1S

Position: SUPPORT

Action Taken: Letters sent 12/16/16 & 4/4/17

SB 2 (Atkins) Building Homes and Jobs Act

Introduced: 12/5/2016

Last Amended: 3/23/2017

Status: 4/3/2017-Placed on Appropriations Suspense File

Summary: Would enact the Building Homes and Jobs Act. Would make legislative findings and declarations relating to the need for establishing permanent, ongoing sources of funding dedicated to affordable housing development. Would impose a fee of \$75 to be paid at the time of the recording of every real estate instrument, per each transaction per single parcel of real property, not to exceed \$225. Coauthors added.

Priority: 5S **Position:** Watch

SB 3 (Beall) Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Last Amended: 3/28/2017 Status: 5/19/2017-Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations. Summary: Enacts the Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018, which places a \$3 billion bond before voters in the November 2018 ballot to fund affordable housing purposes. More coauthors added. Priority: 5S Position: Watch

SB 4 (Mendoza) Goods Movement: allocation of federal funds: Goods Movement and Clean Trucks Bond Act

Introduced: 12/5/2016 Last Amended: 4/26/2017

Status: 5/19/2017-Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations.

Summary: Would, subject to voter approval at the June 5, 2018, statewide primary election, enact the Goods Movement and Clean Trucks Bond Act to authorize \$600 million of state general obligation bonds as follows: \$200 million to the CTC for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund; \$200 million to the State Air Resources Board for the Goods Movement Emission Reduction Program; and \$200 million to the State Air Resources Board for the use of zero- and near-zero emission trucks in areas of the state that are severe or extreme nonattainment areas for ozone and particulate matter. **Priority:** 1S

Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 4/3/17

SB 5 (De León) California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018

Introduced: 12/5/2016

Last Amended: 5/10/2017

Status: 5/19/2017-Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations.

Summary: Enacts the California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act, which, if approved by the voters, authorizes bonds in an amount of \$3.5 billion to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program. (*May 10 amendments added in funds for the Wildlife Conservation Board for wildlife corridors, among other projects.*) **Priority:** 5S

Position: Watch SUPPORT (New Position Recommendation)

SB 132 (Committee on Budget) Budget Act of 2016

Introduced: 1/11/17

Last Amended: 4/6/17

Status: 4/28/2017-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. **Summary**: This bill would amend the Budget Act of 2016 by amending and adding items of appropriation. This is the budget trailer bill that provides \$400 million for the Altamont Corridor Express extension to Merced, \$100 million parkway extension to UC Merced, \$427 million to Riverside County, and \$50 million in funding to combat stationary source pollution in San Bernardino. **Priority:** 1S

Position: Watch

SB 150 (Allen) Regional transportation plans

Introduced: 1/18/2017

Last Amended: 4/27/2017

Status: 5/22/2017- May 22 hearing: Placed on Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Existing law requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to adopt a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) or Alternative Planning Strategy (APS) as part of a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which is to be designed to achieve certain targets for 2020 and 2035 established by the ARB for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. This bill would require the ARB to update and revise the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets consistent with the scoping plan and an assessment of the portion of the state's overall climate targets that is anticipated to be met by reductions in vehicle miles traveled. The bill, beginning on July 1, 2018, would require the ARB to provide-an assessment of currently available and historical vehicle miles traveled (VMT). The bill, beginning on September 1, 2018, would require the state board to prepare a report that assesses progress made by each MPO on a set of data-supported metrics.

Priority: N/A

Position: OPPOSE unless amended

Action Taken: Pending review of proposed amendments

SB 158 (Monning) Commercial driver's license: education

Introduced: 1/19/2017 Last Amended: 4/17/2017 Status: 5/18/2017- Referred to Committee on Transportation. Summary: Would require the DMV, no later than February 7, 2020, to adopt regulations related to entry-level driver training requirements for drivers of commercial motor vehicles including minimum hours of behind-the-wheel training and in compliance with federal regulations. Priority: N/A Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 3/27/17

SB 224 (Jackson) California Environmental Quality Act: baseline conditions

Introduced: 2/2/2017 Last Amended: 4/5/17 Status: 5/19/2017- Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations. Summary: Would require the Office of Planning and Research to propose changes to the secretary of the Natural Resources Agency related to baseline conditions. Priority: 6S Position: Watch

SB 231 (Hertzberg) Local government: fees and charges

Introduced: 2/2/2017

Last Amended: 4/19/2017

Status: 5/22/2017- Referred to Committee on Local Government.

Summary: The California Constitution requires that assessments, fees, and charges be submitted to property owners for approval or rejection. Current law prescribes specific procedures and parameters for local jurisdictions to comply and defines terms for these purposes. This bill would define the term "sewer" using the existing Public Utilities Code definition, to include the collection or disposal of surface or storm waters. The author of this bill and the sponsor want to make it easier for local governments to levy fees to pay for storm water cleanup.

Priority: 11S **Position:** Watch

SB 389 (Roth) Department of Transportation: programmatic testing and inspection services Introduced: 2/14/2017

Last Amended: 5/16/2017

Status: 5/16/2017-Read second time and amended. Ordered to third reading.

Summary: Would authorize Caltrans to establish a special subaccount of the State Highway Account to accommodate deposits and expenditures of moneys relative to routine programmatic testing and inspection services requested by a local agency or other entity that are not directly related to a particular project, including aggregate qualifications, mix verifications, plant inspections, and laboratory certifications.

Priority: NA **Position:** Watch

SB 423 (Cannella) Indemnity: design professionals

Introduced: 2/15/2017

Last Amended: 3/21/2017

Status: 5/12/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Current law provides, with respect to contracts with a public agency for design professional services, that all provisions, clauses, covenants, and agreements contained in, collateral to, or affecting these contracts or amendments to contracts that purport to require the design professional to defend the public agency under an indemnity agreement, including the duty and the cost to defend, are unenforceable, except for claims that arise out of, pertain to, or relate to the negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the design professional. This bill would instead make these provisions applicable to all contracts for design professional services entered into by any person or public or private entity on or after January 1, 2018. *Same language as SB 496, approved by Governor 4/28*. **Priority:** N/A

Position: Concern– undue burden on local agencies; issue already addressed with existing laws **Action Taken**: Discussed with Senator's staff

SB 477 (Cannella) Intercity rail corridors: extensions

Introduced: 2/16/2017

Last Amended: 5/10/2017

Status: 5/19/2017-Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations.

Summary: Would authorize extension a rail corridor to provide intercity rail service beyond the defined boundaries of the corridor. Would require a proposed extension to be approved through the business plan adopted by the joint powers board and would require the joint powers board to make a determination that the extension will not jeopardize or come at the expense of existing services. (*May 10 amendments added co-authors: Assembly Members Caballero and Mark Stone. Additional amendments are expected per input from the State Transportation Agency (CalSTA).*)

Priority: 2S Position: SUPPORT Action taken: Letter sent 4/6/17

SB 496 (Cannella) Indemnity: design professionals Introduced: 2/16/17

Last Amended: 4/5/17

Status: 4/28/2017-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State.

Summary: Existing law provides that all contracts and all solicitation documents between a public agency and a design professional are deemed to incorporate these provisions by reference. This bill would instead make these provisions applicable to all contracts for design professional services entered into on or after January 1, 2018. The bill would prohibit the cost to defend charged to the design professional from exceeding the design professional's proportionate percentage of fault, except that in the event that one or more defendants is unable to pay its share of defense costs due to bankruptcy or dissolution of the business, the bill would require the design professional to meet and confer with other parties regarding unpaid defense costs. The bill would also provide for certain exemptions to these provisions.

Priority: N/A

Position: Concern – undue burden on local agencies; issue already addressed with existing laws **Action Taken**: Discussed with Senator's staff

SB 589 (Hernandez) Municipal separate storm sewer systems: financial capability analysis: pilot project

Introduced: 2/17/2017 Last Amended: 4/26/2017 Status: 5/19/17 – Set for hearing May 25, Senate Appropriations. Summary: Would require the State Water Resources Control Board, in conjunction with an educational institution, to establish financial capability assessment guidelines for municipal separate storm sewer system permittees. Priority: 118 Position: Watch

SB 594 (Beall) Department of Transportation: contracts

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 4/5/2017 Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would require Caltrans to establish and meet specified goals relating to the participation rates by small businesses and disadvantaged business enterprises in both federally funded projects and state-funded projects, and to the participation rate by disabled veteran business enterprises in state-funded projects.

Priority: 1S **Position:** Watch

SB 760 (Wiener) Transportation funding: active transportation: complete streets

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Status: 4/28/2017-Failed Deadline

Summary: Would establish a Division of Active Transportation within Caltrans and require that an undersecretary of the Transportation Agency be assigned to give attention to active transportation program matters to guide progress toward meeting Caltrans' active transportation program goals and objectives. Would require the CTC to give high priority to increasing safety for pedestrians and bicyclists and to the implementation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Priority: 1S

Position: Watch

SB 768 (Allen) Transportation projects: comprehensive development lease agreements Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 3/27/2017

Status: 5/22/2017-Placed on Appropriations suspense file.

Summary: Current law authorizes Caltrans and RTPAs to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. These arrangements are commonly known as public-private partnerships. Current law provides that a lease agreement may not be entered into under these provisions on or after January 1, 2017.

Priority: 7S

Position: SUPPORT

Action Taken: Letter sent 5/2/17

SB 771 (De León) California Environmental Quality Act: continuing education: public employees

Introduced: 2/17/2017 Status: 4/18/2017-Read second time. Ordered to third reading. Summary: Would establish a continuing education requirement for employees of public agencies who have responsibility for overseeing compliance with CEQA. Priority: 6S Position: Watch

SB 775 (Wieckowski) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: market-based compliance mechanisms

Introduced: 2/17/2017

Last Amended: 5/1/2017

Status: 5/8/2017- May 10 hearing postponed by Senate Environmental Quality Committee **Summary:** Would require the ARB to adopt a regulation establishing as a market-based compliance mechanism a market-based program of emissions limits and require the program to set an initial minimum reserve price of \$20 per allowance and an initial auction offer price of \$30 per allowance. **Priority:** N/A

Position: Watch

SCA 2 (Newman) Motor vehicle fees and taxes: restriction on expenditures

Introduced: 1/18/2017

Last Amended: 3/30/2017

Status: 4/17/2017-Ordered to inactive file on request of Senator Newman.

Summary: Would amend the California Constitution to prohibit the Legislature from borrowing revenues from fees and taxes imposed on vehicles or their use or operation, and from using those revenues other than as specifically permitted in the Constitution.

Priority: 1S Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 4/5/17

SCA 6 (Wiener) Local transportation measures: special taxes: voter approval

Introduced: 2/13/2017 Last Amended: 5/1/2017 Status: 5/22/2017-Placed on Appropriations suspense file. Summary: Lowers the vote threshold for cities, counties, or special districts to levy a special tax for transportation infrastructure projects from 2/3 to 55%. Priority: N/A Position: SUPPORT Action Taken: Letter sent 3/27/17

Agenda Item 6.



TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Memorandum

| Subject: | Update of Agency Bylaws |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Meeting Date: | June 7, 2017 |
| From: | Debra L. Hale, Executive Director |
| То: | Executive Committee |

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

REVIEW provide direction to staff on the proposed amendments to the Agency bylaws.

SUMMARY:

Several updates to the Agency bylaws are needed, including clarification of the requirements for adding a late item to the Board agenda, role of alternates on the Executive Committee, and characterization of annual member agency assessments.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None.

DISCUSSION:

In response to Executive Committee inquiries, as well as a need for periodic updates, staff has drafted the attached proposed revisions to the Transportation Agency's bylaws. The proposed revisions are attached, and by and large, are related to three issues.

First, the changes address the issue of late-breaking agenda items. The current process by which the Executive Committee reviews the draft Transportation Agency Board of Directors agenda has worked well for regular agenda items. However, in some cases agenda items needing attention arise after the Executive Committee but before the agenda closing on the Thursday, 9 days before the Board of Directors meeting. Recognizing that often-times such items are ministerial, the Committee suggested that the Executive Director check in with the Chair, or Chair and first Vice Chair when items arise after the Executive Committee review, but before the agenda deadline.

Second, questions have arisen regarding the role of alternate Board members on the Executive Committee, and clarification is sought for the bylaws. While County staff alternates are often well-

versed in TAMC issues, City alternates may not attend as frequently or may represent different viewpoints than the regular member. In addition, there may be sensitive personnel items that the Executive Committee may prefer not to have staff alternates make decisions on. This situation, however, may result in the lack of a quorum. The proposed language would reflect existing practice, which allows alternates to represent their Board member in all situations, including at the Executive Committee. The Committee may prefer to recommend that alternates be recused from participating in discussion of specific issues, such as personnel matters, closed sessions, or closed sessions relating to personnel matters.

Third, staff proposes to rename the annual congestion management program assessments as Regional Transportation Planning Agency assessments, to better reflect actual usage of the member agency contributions, which are one of the agency's few local funding sources. In addition, there are minor wording changes proposed, as shown, including removing the successor agency designation from the title of the bylaws (it is retained in the language of the first part of the bylaws).

ATTACHMENTS:

D TAMC Bylaws - Proposed June 2017 revisions

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE MONTEREY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION BYLAWS

- 01. These Bylaws are intended to supplement California Government Code Title 3, Division 3, Chapter 2, and the Public Utilities Code Division 10, Part 11, referencing the Transportation Development Act passed in 1972, and as amended.
- 02. These Bylaws outline the basic organization and the administration procedures used by the Transportation Agency for Monterey County, successor agency to the Monterey County Transportation Commission, when serving as the Local Transportation Commission, the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, and the Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways. When serving as the Local Transportation Commission, the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, and the Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways. When serving as the Local Transportation Commission, the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, and the Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways, the Transportation Agency for Monterey County is referred to as the "AGENCY."

03. FUNCTIONS OF THE AGENCY WHEN SERVING AS THE LOCAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND AS THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

- 3.1. As the Local Transportation Commission, administer the provisions of the Transportation Development Act in allocating Local Transportation Funds and State Transit Assistance Funds to the cities, County, and transit operators.
- 3.2 As the state designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency, perform transportation planning activities for the County and Cities of Monterey County.

04. FUNCTIONS OF THE AGENCY WHEN SERVING AS THE MONTEREY COUNTY SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAYS AND EXPRESSWAYS

- 4.1 The AGENCY shall enact a motorist aid program having the primary function of installing and monitoring roadside call boxes.
- 4.2 This program shall be performed in accordance with Sections 2550 et seq. of the California Streets and Highways Code.
- 4.3 The AGENCY has been designated as the Monterey County Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways by resolutions of the Monterey County Board of Supervisors and a majority of the Cities containing a majority of the incorporated population as required under Streets and Highways Code Section 2551. The program shall be developed in consultation with and with the cooperation of Caltrans and the California Highway Patrol.

05. ORGANIZATION

- 5.1 **MEMBERSHIP**: The AGENCY shall be composed of <u>each of the</u> five members of the Monterey County Board of Supervisors, or his or her individually designated alternate, and one member appointed from each incorporated city within Monterey County or his or her designated alternate.
- 5.2 **EX-OFFICIO MEMBERSHIP:** The purpose of ex-officio membership is to permit the ex-officio member(s) to participate in AGENCY discussion before and after a matter is allowed for discussion by the public. Ex-officio members shall have no vote on matters brought before the AGENCY. Ex-officio membership is not intended to evolve into full voting membership.
- 5.2.1 The Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, Caltrans, the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, Monterey Peninsula Airport District, Monterey-Salinas Transit and City of Watsonville may appoint one member each to the AGENCY to serve as ex-officio members. Ex-officio members may be added or deleted by amending the Bylaws. Additional ex-officio members shall be limited to public agencies only. This restriction to public agencies does not affect the permanent ex-officio members described in the first sentence of this paragraph 5.2.1. As used here, "public agency" means the State of California or any department or agency thereof, a county, city, public corporation, municipal corporation or public district.
- 5.3 ALTERNATE MEMBERS: Each appointing authority, for the regular member it appoints, may appoint up to two alternate members to serve in place of the regular member when the regular member is absent or disqualified from participating in the meeting of the AGENCY. Alternate members will have the same rights, responsibilities and privileges as regular members, except that they may not serve as officers of the AGENCY, unless they are serving as an alternate to a member of the Executive Committee.
- 5.4 **APPOINTMENT:** City members, city alternate members, and ex-officio members, must all be appointed by the appropriate appointing authority from the affected jurisdiction. A letter signed by the City Manager or Mayor, minute action and/or a resolution making that appointment must be presented to the Executive Director before that member may participate in the AGENCY meetings. The Chair of the Board of Supervisors shall notify the AGENCY by letter to the Executive Director of the Board's alternates.
- 5.5 **STIPEND:** Regular members may receive compensation for services performed for and on behalf of the AGENCY in accordance with written policies adopted by the Board of Directors in a public meeting.
- 5.6 **TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT:** AGENCY Board Members (and alternates) are entitled to reimbursements for travel expenses involved in attending all regular and special meetings of the AGENCY. Reimbursement for travel expenses will be made on a per-mile basis at the current rate established by the TAMC Board for auto expenses or actual cost of public transportation. TAMC Board members (and

alternates) will receive automatic mileage reimbursement payments quarterly based on attendance records and their declared mileage to and from the TAMC meetings. Board Members attending conferences or other agency business meetings may request reimbursement for expenses in accordance with the AGENCY's administrative policies for travel reimbursement.

06. **QUORUM:** A majority of the voting members of the AGENCY shall constitute a quorum for transaction of AGENCY business; the quorum shall consist of a minimum of nine (9)-voting members, including a minimum of seven (7) city representatives and one (1) county representative.

07. VOTING

- 7.1 Except as specifically otherwise provided herein, the vote of a majority of the members of the AGENCY present at any regular, adjourned or special meeting shall be sufficient to pass or act upon any matter properly before the AGENCY, and each member of the AGENCY shall have one vote.
- 7.2 **POPULATION WEIGHTED VOTING:** Upon the call and request of any AGENCY member, present and able to vote, and a quorum being present, a weighted voting formula shall apply for any vote to be taken by the AGENCY, with each member having one or more votes based upon the population of the city or unincorporated county area such member represents. One vote will be granted to Supervisorial District 1, as its population is included with the City of Salinas.

In order for the AGENCY to take action under the provisions of this section two requirements must be fulfilled:

- a) A majority of the votes weighted by population must be cast in favor of the action, provided that not less than two member agencies vote in favor of the action; and
- b) A majority of the members vote in favor of the action.

In the event a simple majority vote on a question has previously been taken, and a weighted vote is subsequently called; a roll call vote will be taken that tabulates both the weighted vote and the members voting. The vote weighted by a majority of those voting representing a majority of the population shall supersede the previous simple majority vote, provided that the vote of a single member may not defeat an action.

7.3 **POPULATION:** For the purposes of determining the weighted vote of Cities or the unincorporated area of the County, the weighted vote by population shall be based on the most current Census, and AGENCY staff shall update annually based on the California State Department of Finance population estimate when it becomes available.

08. OFFICERS

- 8.1 The AGENCY officers shall consist of a Chair, a First Vice-Chair and a Second Vice-Chair. The AGENCY officers shall be elected from the AGENCY and shall serve a term of one year. Terms of the Chair shall not be consecutive full one-year terms. Election of officers shall take place every year at the beginning of the AGENCY's January meeting, and officers' terms shall commence immediately upon election.
- 8.2 The Chair, or in his or her absence, the First Vice-Chair, shall preside over all meetings, and may direct the Executive Director to call a special meeting of the AGENCY Board when he or she judges necessary. In the absence of the Chair and the First Vice-Chair, the Second Vice-Chair shall preside over all meetings and exercise all of the powers of the Chair and the First Vice-Chair.
- 09. **STAFF:** The AGENCY staff shall consist of an Executive Director, and such other staff members as shall be authorized by the AGENCY budget and appointed by the Executive Director.
 - 9.1 The AGENCY shall appoint the Executive Director to serve at the pleasure of the AGENCY.
 - 9.2 The Executive Director will serve as Chief Executive Officer of the AGENCY.
 - 9.3 The AGENCY shall appoint Counsel to serve at the pleasure of the AGENCY.
 - 9.4 The AGENCY shall complete an annual performance evaluation of the Executive Director and Counsel.

10. MEETINGS

- 10.1 The regular meetings of the AGENCY shall be held on the fourth Wednesday of each month or at such other time designated by the Board at a location in Monterey County. Special meetings shall be set with the notice required by law.
- 10.2 AGENCY meetings are open to the public and are conducted according to the Ralph M. Brown Act (Govt. Code Section 54950 et seq.) and Roberts Rules of Order. Time will be allotted at each meeting for the public to present their views to the AGENCY on transportation items, as set forth in Govt. Code Section 54954.3.

Public presentations on transportation matters not on the AGENCY's agenda are limited to three minutes each, unless extended at the discretion of the Chair.

The Chair may establish reasonable limitations on the time allotted for public presentations on any AGENCY agenda item.

- 10.3 The voting members of the AGENCY may meet in closed session to discuss those matters authorized by state law. Only appointed TAMC representatives and, in their absence, their appointed alternates, may attend Closed Sessions. Ex-officio members shall not be authorized to attend Closed Sessions.
- 10.4 The AGENCY Chair in consultation with the First Vice-Chair may cancel any regular meeting if there are no items presented that require the AGENCY's immediate attention.
- 10.5 The AGENCY Agenda will be prepared by the AGENCY staff<u>, and will close atat tThe agenda deadline is noon</u>, Thursday, nine (9) working days before the regular meeting. Any member may request in writing an item to appear on the agenda. The request shall be made at or before the prior Executive Committee meeting prior to the regular meeting for which the item is proposed, or, uponafter consultation with the AGENCY Chair and First Vice Chair, by the agenda deadline. A-and any supporting papers must be furnished by that timethe agenda deadline or be readily available.
- 10.6 Agenda packets shall be distributed to AGENCY members, alternates, and ex-officio members.
- 10.7 The AGENCY agenda shall also be supplied to other governmental agencies on written request, renewable annually.

11. BUDGET

- 11.1 The AGENCY shall annually develop and adopt a budget in accordance with State and Federal requirements no later than the May meeting.
- 11.2 Each AGENCY member shall contribute toward congestion management and traffic monitoringAGENCY activities by means of the Regional Transportation Planning Assessment ("Assessment") in proportion to California Streets and Highways Code Highway Users Tax Account Section 2105 funds received by each AGENCY member to those received by all other AGENCY members. Such Assessment shall be paid from local funds of the member, in order to provide the greatest flexibility of use by AGENCY. AGENCY staff shall invoice each voting member each June for the following fiscal year.
- 12. **COMMITTEES:** Committees and subcommittees, whether standing or ad hoc, may be established, as the AGENCY may deem appropriate.

Standing committees shall be the following:

12.1 <u>A Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)</u> composed of one person representing each AGENCY member including ex-officio members, and transportation providers in Monterey County. Additional members from organizations and jurisdictions not presently represented may be appointed by the AGENCY. The Technical Advisory Committee shall advise the Agency Board on regional transportation improvement projects, transportation planning programs, and transportation funding programs.

- 12.2 <u>An Executive Committee</u> composed of the Chair, First Vice-Chair, Second Vice-Chair, immediate past Chair, and two members selected by the AGENCY; one from the County members and one from the City members. If one of the above-designated persons is not available to serve on the Executive Committee, the AGENCY shall appoint another AGENCY member so that the Executive Committee shall have six members. <u>AGENCY alternates may serve as voting members of the Executive Committee in the absence of the committee member for which they are the alternate.</u> The Executive Committee shall meet when directed to do so by the AGENCY, or when directed to do so by the Chair of the AGENCY, or by a majority of the Executive Committee members. The Executive Committee may meet for the following purposes:
 - a. Review of budget and work program and personnel.
 - b. Review adequacy of transportation funding and regional transportation planning and project delivery efforts.
 - c. Review state and federal legislative matters.
 - d. Review major AGENCY policy matters for recommendation to the Board.

Minutes of the Executive Committee meetings shall be distributed to all AGENCY members.

- 12.3 <u>A Citizens Advisory Committee for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities</u> composed of one representative from each city and supervisorial district. Additional members from organizations and jurisdictions not presently represented may be appointed by the AGENCY. The purpose of the committee is to advocate bicycle and pedestrian travel as viable alternative means of transportation, and advise the Transportation Agency, its member agencies, and private development with respect to bicycle and pedestrian facilities and travel.
- 12.4 <u>A Rail Policy Committee</u> composed of TAMC Board members or their alternates from the following jurisdictions on the rail lines: Cities of Salinas, Marina, Sand City, Seaside, and Monterey, Supervisorial District 1, Supervisorial District 2, Supervisorial District 4, Supervisorial District 5 and two representatives from South Monterey County: either (a) the 3rd District County Supervisor and a voting TAMC Board member from one of the South Monterey County Cities, or (b) two voting TAMC Board members from South Monterey County Cities. The TAMC Chair may appoint annually ex-officio members as needed.

The Committee shall select a Chair and Vice Chair from its membership for a two-year term at the end of the February meeting of every even year, beginning in 2008. The Chair may rotate between the County Supervisors and the Cities, and/or between Salinas Valley and the Monterey Peninsula or the existing Chair may be reappointed to a new term. To be eligible to serve as the Chair or Vice Chair, the person must be a voting AGENCY Board Member and have served on the Rail Policy Committee for at least one year. The Rail Policy Committee advises the Transportation Agency Board on matters related to the establishment of passenger rail service in Monterey County.

- 12.5 Bylaws for any standing committee may be developed and adopted, or amended, by a majority vote of the AGENCY.
- 12.6 Except as otherwise provided herein, a majority of the voting members of each committee shall constitute a quorum for transaction of the business of the committee. For the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC); 5 members of the TAC, representing voting members of the Transportation Agency Board of Directors, constitute a quorum for transaction of the business of the committee.
- 12.7 The Transportation Agency has designated the Monterey-Salinas Transit Mobility Advisory Committee as the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council for Monterey County pursuant to the Transportation Development Act. The Agency will consult with the Mobility Advisory Committee regarding the Agency's annual unmet transit needs finding and the transportation needs of the elderly, persons with disabilities and other transit dependent groups.

13. PROCEDURE FOR APPROVING AND AMENDING BYLAWS

- 13.1 Provided a quorum is present, these Bylaws may be amended at an AGENCY meeting by two-thirds (2/3) of the voting members.
- 13.2 Notice of the proposed amendment of the Bylaws shall be announced at the AGENCY meeting prior to the meeting at which the amendment will be voted upon.

14. AUDITS

All revenues and expenditures of the AGENCY will be audited annually in accordance with General Accounting principles.

A triennial performance audit will be conducted as required by the Transportation Development Act.

Rev. 9/28/16